

CURVATURE DECOMPOSITION OF G_2 MANIFOLDS

RICHARD CLEYTON AND STEFAN IVANOV

ABSTRACT. Explicit formulas for the G_2 -components of the Riemannian curvature tensor on a manifold with a G_2 structure are given in terms of Ricci contractions. We define a conformally invariant Ricci-type tensor that determines the 27-dimensional part of the Weyl tensor and show that its vanishing on compact G_2 manifold with closed fundamental form forces the three-form to be parallel. A topological obstruction for the existence of a G_2 structure with closed fundamental form is obtained in terms of the integral norms of the curvature components. We produce integral inequalities for closed G_2 manifold and investigate limiting cases. We make a study of warped products and cohomogeneity-one G_2 manifolds. As a consequence every Fernandez-Gray type of G_2 structure whose scalar curvature vanishes may be realized such that the metric has holonomy contained in G_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

A 7-dimensional manifold M is called a G_2 manifold if its structure group reduces to the exceptional Lie group G_2 , i.e. in the presence of a G_2 structure on M . In practical terms this is a non-degenerate three-form which is positive, in the sense that it associates a definite metric to M . This three-form is called the *fundamental form* of the G_2 manifold and is throughout this paper denoted by ϕ . From the purely topological point of view, a 7-dimensional paracompact manifold is a G_2 manifold if and only if it is an oriented spin manifold [30]. The reduction of the structure group lifts the representation theory of G_2 to tensor bundles and allows to split bundles and their sections according to their G_2 decompositions. It also equips M with a unique *canonical G_2 connection* of minimal torsion, in addition to the Levi-Civita connection of the associated metric. The difference of these is the *intrinsic torsion* of the G_2 structure. Decomposing the intrinsic torsion into G_2 irreducible parts divides G_2 structures into 16 torsion classes labeled by the non-vanishing torsion components [18]. These components of torsion are precisely the first order diffeomorphism invariants of the G_2 structure ϕ . Based on the general theory of Cartan, Robert Bryant observes in [9] that, for a G_2 structure, the diffeomorphism invariants which are polynomial in the derivatives of ϕ up to second order, are sections of a vector bundle of rank 392. In the same paper Bryant describes the G_2 invariant splitting of this bundle in to eleven G_2 irreducible components. This includes the splitting of the Riemannian curvature tensor in to five components. Three of these are part of the Weyl tensor $W = W_{77} \oplus W_{64} \oplus W_{27}$ (subscripts denote dimensions of the corresponding bundle) and the remaining two correspond to the traceless Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of the underlying metric.

In the present note the focus is on the following basic question: What type of conclusion about the intrinsic torsion may be obtained by imposing conditions on the five curvature components of the Riemannian curvature and vice-versa?

For instance, it is well known that when the torsion vanishes completely, the Riemannian curvature is precisely W_{77} , [1]. This has several nice corollaries. First, the observation by Bonan [5] that metrics with holonomy G_2 are Ricci-flat follows immediately. Secondly, the other four curvature components are determined linearly by the covariant derivative of the intrinsic torsion and a term of second order in the components of the torsion, see Proposition 4.1.

Moreover, the representation theory of G_2 determines precisely how the square of the torsion and its derivatives contribute to curvature components. Qualitative results deduced from this are listed in Tables 1 and 2. On the quantitative level, universal formulas for the scalar curvature and Ricci curvature in terms of torsion and its covariant derivative must exist. These were computed for the special instance of G_2 structures with skew torsion in [20] and for the general case in [9]. For obtaining such expressions as well as for their applicability the following observations play crucial roles. The fundamental form is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection precisely when the intrinsic torsion is zero. If so, the associated metric has holonomy contained in G_2 and we say that the G_2 structure is *parallel*. Gray [22] showed (see also [18, 7, 34]) that a G_2 manifold is parallel precisely when the fundamental form is harmonic. This has the corollary that, for a general G_2 structure, the intrinsic torsion is completely determined by the differential and co-differential of the fundamental three-form. The relationship may be made explicit, see section 3.1, and so embeds the intrinsic torsion in the exterior algebra. The curvature component W_{27} manifests itself in the form of a second Ricci-type tensor on G_2 -manifolds. This has an expression as a linear combination of the Ricci tensor and the $\star$ -Ricci tensor introduced in [12] (here re-baptized “the ϕ -Ricci tensor” to emphasize the dependency on the three-form ϕ rather than the metric). Using this and the fact that the space of symmetric two-tensors on $\mathbb{R}^7$ injects into the space of three-forms provides expressions for scalar curvature, Ricci curvature and W_{27} , quadratic in the components of the derivative and coderivative of the fundamental form and linear in exterior derivatives (rather than covariant derivatives) of the same components, see Lemma 4.4. This is a generalization of the equations previously found by Bryant [9] and Friedrich-Ivanov [20]. An equivalent formula involving the canonical connection is also given, see Lemma 4.7. In Theorem 4.10 we give the precise relations between W_{27} and the induced symmetric two-tensor, plus other algebraic relations between curvature components, scalar curvature s_g and the Ricci tensor of the associated metric g .

Turning to applications we first re-examine the G_2 structures ϕ is either parallel (zero torsion), nearly parallel (a one-dimensional torsion component is non-zero) or locally conformally equivalent to one of these types (allowing an additional non-zero one-form part in the intrinsic torsion). For nearly parallel structures we recover results of Gray and Reyes-Carrion, i.e. that the induced metric is Einstein with positive scalar curvature [23] and $W_{64} = 0 = W_{27}$ [33]. Conformal invariance of the Weyl curvature shows that the latter facts hold for the wider class of G_2 manifolds locally conformal to parallel and nearly parallel, see section 5.

In section 6 we concentrate on G_2 manifolds with closed fundamental three-form. Closed G_2 structures are interesting for several reasons. In favorable circumstances a closed G_2 structure on a compact manifold may be deformed to obtain a metric with holonomy G_2 . This lies at the heart of the work on the existence of compact parallel G_2 manifolds by Joyce [26, 27] and Kovalev [29]. Furthermore, closed G_2 structures share several features with nearly parallel G_2 structures. Notably, in both cases the full space of second order diffeomorphism invariants is determined by the components of the Riemannian curvature of the underlying metric, something that is, in general, not true for a G_2 structure, see [9] and Remark 4.11.

In [12], we found that a compact G_2 manifold with a closed G_2 structure is Einstein only if it is parallel. Using our new formulas for the Ricci-type tensors this result is easily recovered, allowing to interpret the ‘natural equations for closed G_2 structures’ coined in [9]. In particular we show that the concept of closed G_2 structures with extremally pinched Ricci curvature is precisely that of a closed G_2 for which the 27-dimensional component of the covariant derivative of the intrinsic torsion is zero.

As mentioned above, general principles show that the curvature component W_{64} also has an expression in terms of torsion and its derivatives, even for a general G_2 structure. This is however hampered by the lack of a sensible representation of the constituent tensors. Yet, for closed G_2 structures an explicit formula is available, see Lemma 6.5. Certain constraints on the curvature of a closed G_2 structure can only be satisfied when ϕ is also coclosed. To be precise, we have

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose M is a compact manifold with closed G_2 structure ϕ . If $W_{27} = 0$ then ϕ is parallel. The same conclusion holds if W_{77} and W_{64} vanish simultaneously, in which case the associated metric is furthermore flat.*

The first statement here is implicitly contained in [9] although more is true. On a compact M , the same conclusion holds for the vanishing of any linear combination of the two Ricci-type tensors, apart precisely from the case corresponding to an extremally pinched Ricci metric.

From the structure equations of a closed G_2 structure it follows that the intrinsic torsion is parallel with respect to the canonical connection precisely when the associated metric is extremally Ricci pinched and W_{64} is zero. There is then a natural hierarchy of closed G_2 structures. Those with zero torsion clearly form the smallest class, contained in that of parallel torsion, which in turn is a subclass of extremally Ricci pinched structures.

Compact M 's with closed ϕ have a natural diffeomorphism invariant number $n(M, \phi)$ associated to them by taking the cup product of the first Pontrjagin class $p_1(M)$ with the de Rham class $[\phi]$ and pairing with the fundamental class $[M]$, i.e. $n(M, \phi) := \langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle$. Using Chern-Weil theory and the decomposition of the Riemannian curvature we obtain an expression for $n(M, \phi)$ through an integral of norms of curvature components, see Theorem 7.1. Now each of the classes in the hierarchy of closed G_2 structures are characterized by realizing different equalities in a series of estimates for $n(M, \phi)$ in terms of L^2 norms of curvature components. For the penultimate class we shall furthermore prove that such compact manifold are locally isometric to the example constructed by Bryant in [9]:

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a G_2 manifold with a closed fundamental form ϕ .*

- (a) *Suppose the intrinsic torsion is parallel with respect to the canonical G_2 connection. Then (M, ϕ) is locally isometric to the homogeneous space $G/SU(2)$ where G is the Lie group consisting of affine transformations of two-dimensional complex space preserving its standard complex volume form.*
- (b) *Suppose furthermore that M is compact. Then*

$$n(M, \phi) \geq -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \left\{ \|W_{77}\|^2 - \frac{3}{16} s_g^2 \right\} dV_g,$$

and equality holds if and only if M is as described in (a).

In the light of the above results we make the following

Conjecture. *Suppose M is compact and ϕ is a closed G_2 structure on M with extremally pinched Ricci curvature. Then the universal covering space $\tilde{M}$ of M is isometric to $G/SU(2)$ equipped with its unique G_2 structure with parallel torsion.*

Equivalently, we expect the classes of closed ϕ with extremally pinched Ricci curvature and those with parallel intrinsic torsion to coincide on compact manifolds.

In the last section we present some examples intended to elucidate the difficulty of making conclusions about torsion from vanishing of curvature components, for general G_2 structures. As a starting point warped products and cohomogeneity-one G_2 manifolds with exactly one non-vanishing curvature component (W_{77}) and non-trivial torsion are given. These realize every possible torsion type allowed by the vanishing of the scalar curvature, with one exception.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Robert Bryant, Andrew Swann, Simon Salamon, Ilka Agricola and Thomas Friedrich for inspiration and for making their help available. R. C. thanks Ilka Agricola and Thomas Friedrich for their support, patience and the stimulating work environment they provide, and the Volkswagen Foundation and Yat Sun Poon for support. S. I. thanks the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn for the support and the excellent research environment. S. I. is a Senior Associate to the Abdus Salam ICTP, 2003-2009.

2. THE FUNDAMENTAL THREE-FORM

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an n -dimensional Euclidean vector space. An n -form vol on V is said to be a volume form for the given inner product if $|\text{vol}| = 1$ with respect to the inner product induced on the exterior algebra $\Lambda^* V^*$. Write $i_v: \Lambda^p V^* \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1} V^*$ for the interior product. Suppose now that $n = 7$ and that ϕ is a three-form on V such that

$$(2.1) \quad i_u \phi \wedge i_v \phi \wedge \phi = 6 \langle u, v \rangle \text{vol}$$

for some positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and volume form vol . Then ϕ is non-degenerate in the sense that $v \mapsto i_v \phi$ is injective. It follows that the isotropy group of ϕ is the simple Lie group G_2 , see e.g. [24], and a basis of orthonormal one-forms e^i for V^* may be chosen such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi &= e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{245} - e^{146} - e^{236}, \\ * \phi &= e^{1234} + e^{3456} + e^{5612} - e^{2467} + e^{1367} + e^{2357} + e^{1457}. \end{aligned}$$

Here e^{ijk} is short-hand for $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ and so on. From equation (2.1) it is clear that G_2 is a closed subgroup of $SO(7)$. Via the inner product the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_2$ of G_2 may be identified with the 14 dimensional subspace of $\Lambda^2 V^*$ complementary to the span of $\{i_u \phi: u \in V\}$. One says that a three-form satisfying equation (2.1) for some positive inner product and volume form on V is a G_2 three-form or *fundamental three-form* of G_2 . The inner product and volume form so defined are said to be *associated to the three-form*. Alternatively, fixing an inner product and volume form, any three-form satisfying the relation (2.1) is called *compatible* with the metric and given orientation. A basis of one-forms $\{e^i\}$ over a vector space V for which a G_2 three-form ϕ has the expression (2.2) is called G_2 -adapted.

A G_2 three-form ϕ induces a splitting of the exterior algebra $\Lambda^* V$. We have equivariant projections $p_d^r: \Lambda^r V^* \rightarrow \Lambda^r V^*$ given by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} p_7^2(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{3}(\alpha + *(\alpha \wedge \phi)), & p_{14}^2(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{3}(2\alpha - *(\alpha \wedge \phi)), \\ p_1^3(\beta) &= \frac{1}{7}*(\phi \wedge \beta), & p_7^3(\beta) &= \frac{1}{4}*(\phi \wedge \beta) \wedge \phi, & p_{27}^3(\beta) &= \beta - (p_1^3 + p_7^3)(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

A subscript $d = 1, 7, 14$, or 27 indicates the dimension of the images, denoted by Λ_d^r , of the corresponding projections. These are all irreducible representations of G_2 . Projections for $r > 3$ are obtained by composing with the Hodge star operator $*$: $\Lambda^r \rightarrow \Lambda^{7-r}$, $p_d^r := * \circ p_d^{7-r} *$.

On several occasions in what follows we shall come across representations that do not occur as subspaces in the exterior algebra of the standard representation. We fix the notation for these as follows. Choose a system of positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}_2$ such that the standard representation has highest weight $(1, 0)$ and the adjoint representation $(0, 1)$. We write $V_d^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}$ for (the isomorphism class of) the irreducible representation with highest weight (μ_1, μ_2) and dimension d . So the standard representation is $V_7^{(1,0)}$, the adjoint representation $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is $V_{14}^{(0,1)}$, while the space of traceless symmetric tensors is $V_{27}^{(2,0)}$. When the dimension is sufficient to identify the representation the superscript will be dropped.

The 27 dimensional subspace Λ_{27}^3 is isomorphic the space of traceless symmetric tensors over V . This isomorphism may be given explicitly as the restriction of

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_3(e \otimes e) := e \wedge (e \lrcorner \phi)$$

to tracefree tensors. An map from Λ^3 to 2-tensors is given by contracting an arbitrary three-form with the fundamental form over two indices

$$\sigma(\alpha)(u, v) = \langle i_u \phi, i_v \alpha \rangle.$$

The two-tensor $\sigma(\alpha)$ is a symmetric tensor only when $p_7^3(\alpha)$ vanishes, and tracefree only if $p_1^3(\alpha) = 0$. Note that $\lambda_3(g) = 3\phi$. For a symmetric tensor h with zero trace one has the simple relation

$$(2.5) \quad |\lambda_3(h)|^2 = 2 \|h\|^2.$$

A few words on how identities such as (2.5) and (2.3) are verified, given that these techniques are well-established. All identities here represent relations between maps to or from an irreducible representation. Then Schur's Lemma ensures that any two such maps must be equal up to a constant multiple. It is then sufficient to evaluate left- and right-hand sides on a *test element*. Examples of such may be provided as follows. Choose a G_2 adapted basis e^i of V^* . Set $\omega := e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}$ and $\psi^+ := e^{135} - e^{245} - e^{146} - e^{236}$. Then $\phi = \omega \wedge e^7 + \psi^+$ and $\omega \in \Lambda_7^2$, $e^{12} - e^{34} \in \Lambda_{14}^2$ are test elements in $\Lambda^2 V^*$. In degree 3 we have $\phi \in \Lambda_1^3$ while $\psi^- := -e^{246} + e^{136} + e^{235} + e^{145} \in \Lambda_7^3$ and $4\omega \wedge e^7 - 3\psi^+ \in \Lambda_{27}^3$. More test elements with an application of Schur's Lemma are given in the proof of the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V denote $\mathbb{R}^7$ equipped with G_2 three-form ϕ (2.2) and associated metric g . Let $\wedge_3: V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^* \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^*$ be the map given by the wedge product $\wedge_3(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \alpha \wedge \beta$. The tensor product $V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$ decomposes as*

$$V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2 \cong V_{64}^{(1,1)} + V_{27}^{(2,0)} + V_7^{(1,0)}$$

and the restriction $\wedge_3|: V^ \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^*$ has kernel $V_{64}^{(1,1)}$ and cokernel Λ_1^3 . Moreover, the identity*

$$7 \|\gamma\|^2 = \|\wedge_3(\gamma)\|^2$$

holds for every γ in the 27 dimensional irreducible submodule of $V^ \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$.*

Proof. First, since V^* and Λ_{14}^2 are non-isomorphic representations the decomposition of their tensor product contains no trivial summand. So it is clear that the cokernel of $\wedge_3: V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^*$ must contain Λ_1^3 . Furthermore, as $V_{27}^{(2,0)}$ is real and irreducible, there is, up to scale, precisely one invariant map $S^2(V_{27}^{(2,0)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By Schur's Lemma, there exists a constant c so that the relation $c \|\gamma\|^2 = \|\wedge_3(\gamma)\|^2$ holds for all $\gamma \in V_{27}^{(2,0)}$.

Let $\{e^i\}$ be a G_2 adapted basis. Write $\pi: V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^* \rightarrow V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$ for the orthogonal projection $\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \alpha \otimes p_{14}^2(\beta)$. Then $\pi(e^i \otimes e^{i7})$ provides a test element in a submodule of $V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$ isomorphic to $V^* \cong V_7^{(1,0)}$ and one may calculate $\wedge_3(\pi(e^i \otimes e^{i7})) = -\psi^- \in \Lambda_7^3$. This shows that $\text{coker}(\wedge_3|)$ is no bigger than $\Lambda_{27}^3 + \Lambda_1^3$.

Set $\gamma' := e^7 \otimes (e^{12} - e^{34}) \in V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$. Then $\wedge_3(\gamma') = e^{127} - e^{347} \in \Lambda_{27}^3$ which proves that $\text{coker}(\wedge_3|) = \Lambda_1^3$ and also shows that $V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$ contains irreducible submodules isomorphic to V_{27} and V . The decomposition now follows by noting that the dimension of the Cartan product $V^{(1,1)}$ inside $V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$ is 64. It is then clear that $\ker(\wedge_3|) \cong V_{64}^{(1,1)}$.

All we now need is to find a test element in $V_{64}^{(1,1)} \subset V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$. Since $p_7^3(\wedge_3(\gamma')) = 0$, γ' itself must lie the submodule isomorphic to $V_{64}^{(1,1)} + V_{27}^{(2,0)}$. Composing the inclusion $i: \Lambda^3 V^* \hookrightarrow V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^*$ with the projection π we obtain $\gamma'' := \pi(i(\wedge_3(\gamma')))$ - γ' . It is easy to check that $\langle \gamma'', \gamma' \rangle = 0$, $\|\gamma''\|^2 = \frac{16}{3}$, $\|\gamma'\|^2 = 4$ in the tensor norm, and $\wedge_3(\gamma'') = \frac{4}{3} \wedge_3(\gamma')$. We then have $4\gamma'' - 3\gamma' \in V_{64}^{(1,1)}$ and $\gamma := \gamma'' + \gamma' \in V_{27}^{(2,0)}$. Evaluating the norms of γ and $\wedge_3(\gamma)$ completes the proof. $\square$

When modules are not irreducible but maps between them still G_2 , calculations on the components of the tensors are made easier by the fact that ϕ generates the space of invariant tensors. This guarantees that relations between contractions of metric g , ϕ and $*\phi$ - spelled out in [9] and also exploited in [12] - do exist. For ease of reference we recall these identities here. Let ϕ be a G_2 -three-form and $*\phi$ its dual four-form via the associated metric and orientation. Write ϕ_{ijk} for the components of ϕ and ϕ_{ijkl} for the components of $*\phi$ with respect to a basis e^i of one-forms on

V^* . Then one has the identities, see [9]

$$(2.6) \quad \phi_{ipq}\phi_{pqj} = 6\delta_{ij},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \phi_{ijp}\phi_{pkl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \phi_{ijkl},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \phi_{ijpq}\phi_{pqkl} = 4(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{jk}\delta_{il}) + 2\phi_{ijkl},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \phi_{ipq}\phi_{pqjk} = 4\phi_{ijk},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \phi_{ijp}\phi_{pklm} = \delta_{ik}\phi_{jlm} - \delta_{jk}\phi_{ilm} + \delta_{il}\phi_{jmk} - \delta_{jl}\phi_{imk} + \delta_{im}\phi_{jkl} - \delta_{jm}\phi_{ikl},$$

where repeated indices here and below indicate that a summation is taking place. The identities listed here are valid only when the basis chosen is orthonormal. For a general basis one must replace the δ_{pq} 's with the components g_{pq} of the associated metric in this basis and simple summations must be replaced with contractions with the inverse metric. This means, for instance, that the first identity becomes $\phi_{ipr}g^{pq}g^{rs}\phi_{qsj} = 6g_{ij}$.

3. TORSION OF A G_2 STRUCTURE

A G_2 structure or G_2 three-form on a 7 dimensional manifold M is a three-form ϕ such that for any two vector fields X, Y

$$(3.11) \quad i_X\phi \wedge i_Y\phi \wedge \phi = 6g(X, Y)\text{vol}(g),$$

where g is a Riemannian metric and $\text{vol}(g)$ is a volume element for g . Fixing the three-form, we say that g and $\text{vol}(g)$ satisfying (3.11) are the metric and orientation *associated* to ϕ . A different viewpoint is offered by fixing a metric g and a orientation. Then a three-form ϕ is called *compatible* with this choice when (3.11) holds.

A G_2 structure defines reductions of the bundle LM of linear frames $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow T_xM$ of M first to the bundle of orthonormal frames $F(M, g)$ such that σ^*g is the standard metric on $V = \mathbb{R}^7$ and then to the bundle P of G_2 adapted frames such that $\sigma^*\phi$ is the standard G_2 structure on V given by (2.2). Any such reduction of the bundle of orthonormal frames with structure group G carries a unique G connection $\bar{\nabla}$ called *the canonical connection of P* . This has the property that *the intrinsic torsion* ξ defined by $\xi := \nabla^g - \bar{\nabla}$ of P is a one-form with values in $\mathfrak{g}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$. Here and everywhere else in this paper ∇^g denotes the Levi-Civita connection of a given metric g . Identifying tensor bundles $T^{(p,q)}M$ with the corresponding associated bundles $P \times_G V^{(p,q)}$ allows to identify tensor fields with equivariant functions $P \rightarrow V^{(p,q)}$. When W is a G -representation, we adopt the convenient but somewhat improper notation $\gamma \in W$, meaning γ is a section of the bundle associated to W . Given a G -invariant tensor γ on V it makes sense to define a section $\gamma \in W$ by setting $p \mapsto \gamma$ for all $p \in P$. This tensor will be parallel with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$. In this way, equivariant linear maps between representations $c: W \rightarrow W'$ of G give rise to maps of associated bundles commuting with the covariant differentiation by $\bar{\nabla}$

$$(3.12) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X(c(\eta)) = c(\bar{\nabla}_X\eta), \quad X \in V, \eta \in W.$$

Let $\cdot$ denote the induced action of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on representations V of G . Extending this to associated bundles we apply the short-hand notation $\nabla^g\gamma = \bar{\nabla}\gamma + \xi \cdot \gamma$. With the convention that $\wedge_p: V^* \otimes \Lambda^p V^* \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1} V^*$ is the map $\alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta$ we define

$$d^{\bar{\nabla}}\beta := \wedge_p(\bar{\nabla}\beta) = d\beta - \wedge_p(\xi \cdot \beta).$$

For further details on G structures see for instance [34].

The complement $\mathfrak{g}_2^\perp$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ in $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ is isomorphic to the standard representation $V = V_7$ of G_2 . So the decomposition of the intrinsic torsion ξ of a G_2 structures follows from the splitting

$$(3.13) \quad V \otimes V = S^2V + \Lambda^2V = S_0^2V + \mathbb{R} + \Lambda_{14}^2 + \Lambda_7^2.$$

We write ξ_d for the projection of ξ to the d -dimensional subspace of $V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp$ corresponding to the decomposition (3.13) $\xi = \xi_{27} + \xi_1 + \xi_{14} + \xi_7$.

3.1. Derivatives of the fundamental three-form. The *torsion components* of a G_2 structure are differential forms $\tau_p \in \Omega^p(M)$ such that [18]

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} d\phi &= \tau_0 * \phi + 3\tau_1 \wedge \phi + *\tau_3, \\ d*\phi &= 4\tau_1 \wedge *\phi + \tau_2 \wedge \phi. \end{aligned}$$

This pair of equations are the *structure equations* for the G_2 form ϕ .

The torsion type or Fernández-Gray class of a G_2 structure is determined by the vanishing of torsion components. The original enumeration of the torsion types of Fernández and Gray gives the correspondences $\tau_0 \leftrightarrow 1$, $\tau_1 \leftrightarrow 4$, $\tau_2 \leftrightarrow 2$, and $\tau_3 \leftrightarrow 3$. For instance, a three-form has (Fernández-Gray) type 1 + 3 if $\tau_2 = 0 = \tau_1$ and strict, or proper, type 1 + 3 if $\tau_2 = 0 = \tau_1$, but $\tau_0 \neq 0$ and $\tau_3 \neq 0$. If all components are zero then ϕ is parallel.

The torsion τ and intrinsic torsion may be related explicitly as follows. Let $\bar{\xi}$ denote the two-tensor obtained by identification of $\mathfrak{g}_2^\perp \simeq \Lambda_7^2$ with $\Lambda_7^1 \simeq V^*$. In an orthonormal frame e_i this is the contraction

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\xi} = \xi_{ipq} \phi_{pqj} e^i \otimes e^j,$$

where $\xi_{ijk} = g(\xi_{e_i} e_j, e_k)$. The components of the intrinsic torsion may be recovered from $\bar{\xi}$ by the relation

$$(3.16) \quad \xi_{ijk} = \frac{1}{6} \bar{\xi}_{ip} \phi_{pj k}.$$

In fact, still working in an orthonormal frame e_i one then has a nice expression for the covariant derivative of ϕ : $(\nabla^g_i \phi)_{jkl} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_{ip} \phi_{pjkl}$, which leads to the relations

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\xi}_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \tau_0 g, & \bar{\xi}_7 &= 2*(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi), \\ \bar{\xi}_{14} &= \tau_2, & \bar{\xi}_{27} &= \sigma(\tau_3). \end{aligned}$$

These may also be found in [28]. An example of an application of the identity (3.12) is the component-wise relation of covariant derivatives of $\bar{\xi}$ and ξ , $\bar{\nabla}_i \xi_{jkl} = \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\xi}_{jp} \phi_{pkl}$.

4. CURVATURE OF G_2 MANIFOLDS

For a G structure on (M, g) the Riemannian curvature tensor may be given the following expression in terms of the canonical connection $\bar{\nabla}$ and the intrinsic torsion ξ :

$$(4.18) \quad R^g = \bar{R} + (\bar{\nabla} \xi) + (\xi^2).$$

Here, $\bar{R} \in \Lambda^2 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is the curvature of the canonical connection, $(\bar{\nabla} \xi) \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ is defined by $(\bar{\nabla} \xi)_{X,Y} := (\bar{\nabla}_X \xi)_Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \xi)_X$ and $(\xi^2) \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(7)$ is the tensor $(\xi^2)_{X,Y} Z = \xi_{\xi_Y X} - \xi_{X Y} Z + [\xi_X, \xi_Y] Z$. Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the space of algebraic curvature tensors with values in $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ and fix $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$. Write $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})^\perp$ with respect to g . The first statement of the following proposition is then an easy consequence of the first Bianchi identity for R^g . The second statement is a consequence of the first and a theorem of Ambrose and Singer [2], see [14].

Proposition 4.1. *Let P be a G structure on a Riemannian manifold M with metric g . Then the components of the Riemannian curvature in $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})^\perp$ are determined by the components of the covariant derivative of the intrinsic torsion $\bar{\nabla} \xi$ and the tensor (ξ^2) .*

If g is complete, $\bar{\nabla} \xi = 0$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ then (M, g) is locally isometric to a homogeneous space and the universal cover of (M, g) is globally homogenous.

Remark 4.2. Note that one may equally well express the Riemannian curvature as $R^g = \bar{R} + (\nabla^g \xi) - [\xi^2]$, where $[\xi^2]_{X,Y} := [\xi_X, \xi_Y]$. In the almost Hermitian case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(m)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^\perp = \llbracket \Lambda^{(2,0)} \rrbracket$. It then follows that $[\xi^2] \in \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes ((\llbracket \Lambda^{(2,0)} \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket \Lambda^{(2,0)} \rrbracket) \cap \mathfrak{so}(2m)) \subset \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathfrak{u}(m)$. The bracket $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ sends a complex representation to its underlying real representation. Based on this it was argued in [15] that the components of Riemannian curvature in $\mathcal{K}^\perp$ are determined by the components

of $\nabla^g \xi$. However, this does not carry over to the G_2 setting simply because $[\mathfrak{g}_2^\perp, \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp] \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_2$ as one easily verifies.

For G_2 structures the decomposition of $\mathcal{K}$ is easily obtained. First, using standard techniques of representation theory we have

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2^\perp) &= V_{27} + V_1, & S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2) &= V_{77}^{(2,0)} + V_{27} + V_1, & \mathfrak{g}_2 \odot \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp &= V_{64} + V_{27} + V_7, \\ S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2^\perp) \cap \mathcal{K} &= 0, & S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2) \cap \mathcal{K} &= V_{77}^{(2,0)}, & (\mathfrak{g}_2 \odot \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp) \cap \mathcal{K} &= V_{64}. \end{aligned}$$

where the convention $V \odot W := (V \otimes W + W \otimes V) \cap S^2(V + W)$ for vector spaces V and W is used. The decomposition of $S^2(\mathfrak{so}(7))$ follows from (4.19). Let $b: \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^* \otimes V$ be defined as $(br)(X, Y, Z) := r(X, Y)Z + r(Y, Z)X + r(Z, X)Y$ so that $\mathcal{K} = \ker(b)$. Using that b restricted to $S^2(\mathfrak{so}(7))$ maps surjectively onto Λ^4 one obtains $\mathcal{K} = V_{77}^{(2,0)} + V_{64} + 2V_{27} + V_1$, as observed in [9]. Comparing to the $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ decomposition of $\mathcal{K}$, see e.g. [4], $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{S}$ where $\mathcal{R}_0 \cong S_0^2 V^*$, $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathbb{R}$, we see that the space of algebraic Weyl tensors $\mathcal{W}$ on a G_2 manifold decomposes as

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{77} + \mathcal{W}_{64} + \mathcal{W}_{27},$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{77} := \mathcal{K} \cap S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2) \cong V_{77}^{(2,0)}$, $\mathcal{W}_{64} := \mathcal{K} \cap (\mathfrak{g}_2 \odot \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp) \cong V_{64}^{(1,1)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{27} := \mathcal{W} \setminus (\mathcal{W}_{77} + \mathcal{W}_{64}) \cong \Lambda_{27}^3 \cong S_0^2 V_7$. This, at least from the point of view of splitting the space of algebraic curvature tensors $\mathcal{K}$ in to G_2 irreducible subspaces, gives the *decomposition of the Riemannian curvature tensor of a G_2 manifold*. However, it is sometimes useful to have a more explicit description of these submodules. To attain this, we first need to do a little more linear algebra.

So let for the moment ϕ, g be the standard G_2 structure on $V_7 = V = \mathbb{R}^7$. Let r_g be the usual Kulkarni-Nomizu product viewed as an $SO(7)$ equivariant map $S^2 V^* \rightarrow S^2(\Lambda^2 V^*)$,

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} r_g(h)(x, y, z, w) &:= (h \otimes g)(x, y, z, w) = \\ &h(y, z)g(x, w) - h(x, z)g(y, w) + h(x, w)g(y, z) - h(y, w)g(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

This takes values in $\mathcal{K}$, as one may easily verify. Then a G_2 equivariant map r_ϕ also from $S^2 V^*$ to $\mathcal{K}$ is

$$r_\phi(a_1 \odot a_2) := (a_1 \lrcorner \phi) \odot (a_2 \lrcorner \phi) - \frac{1}{3}b((a_1 \lrcorner \phi) \odot (a_2 \lrcorner \phi)),$$

Here and elsewhere, $a \odot b := a \otimes b + b \otimes a$. The Bianchi map must of course be composed with the proper musical morphisms. Contractions going in the opposite direction may be given as

$$c^g(r)(u, v) := r(u, e_i, e_i, v),$$

where e_i is an orthonormal basis. This is just the usual Ricci contraction. Using the isomorphism $S^2(\Lambda^2 V) \cong_g S^2(\Lambda^2 V^*)$ we set

$$c^\phi(r)(u, v) := 4r(u \lrcorner \phi, v \lrcorner \phi).$$

The first equations of (4.21) below, correspond to the result $c^g(h \otimes g) = (n-2)h + \text{tr}_g(h)g$ of taking the Ricci contraction of a Kulkarni-Nomizu product, see [4]. These and the remaining equations may be verified by a calculation in an orthonormal basis.

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} (c^g \circ r_g)|_{S_0^2 V^*} &= 5, & (c^g \circ r_\phi)|_{S_0^2 V^*} &= 1, & (c^g \circ r_g)(g) &= 12g, \\ (c^\phi \circ r_g)|_{S_0^2 V^*} &= 4, & (c^\phi \circ r_\phi)|_{S_0^2 V^*} &= \frac{92}{3}, & (c^\phi \circ r_g)(g) &= -24g. \end{aligned}$$

In analogy with the characterization $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{r_g(h) : h \in S_0^2 V^*\}$, the space $\mathcal{W}_{27}$ may be described as

$$\mathcal{W}_{27} := \{r_g(h) - 5r_\phi(h) : h \in S_0^2 V^*\}.$$

At last consider the projections $P^{\mathfrak{g}_2}: S^2(\mathfrak{so}(7)) \rightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, $P^\odot: S^2(\mathfrak{so}(7)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \odot \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp$. These maps may be given closed form expression in terms of the projections p_d^2 , $d = 7, 14$ of (2.3).

4.1. Ricci curvature of G_2 manifolds. Let (M, ϕ) be a G_2 manifold with associated metric g as usual. Define the ϕ -Ricci tensor¹ as

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Ric}^\phi(X, Y) := c^\phi(R^g),$$

and write $\text{Ric}^g = c^g(R^g)$ and $s_g = \text{tr}_g(\text{Ric}^g)$ for the Ricci and scalar curvatures of g . The identities (4.21) show that $\text{tr}_g(\text{Ric}^\phi) = -2s_g$, see also [12]. As usual, a subscript 0 indicates the traceless part of a symmetric tensor.

The isomorphism (2.4) has striking consequences. Most importantly, the covariant derivatives of ξ_7, ξ_{14} and ξ_{27} all have precisely one component in a 27 dimensional irreducible subspace of $V^* \otimes V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp$. Each of these may be identified with 27 dimensional components of suitable exterior derivatives. Similarly, each 27 dimensional component of the ‘algebraic’ parts $\xi_d \odot \xi_{d'}$ of the Riemannian curvature has an equivalent expression in the exterior algebra. This was used in [9] to obtain an expression for the Ricci curvature of a G_2 manifold. When $\tau_2 = 0$, Ricci is given in terms of covariant derivatives of the skew-symmetric torsion in [20] and a formula for the Ricci tensor in terms of the covariant derivatives of the intrinsic torsion was very recently presented in [28]. All this is subsumed by introducing a more general symmetric two-tensor, built G_2 equivariantly from the Riemannian curvature, as follows. For $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ write

$$(4.23) \quad \text{Ric}_0^k := k_1 \text{Ric}_0^g + k_2 \text{Ric}_0^\phi.$$

We shall call this tensor the *generalized Ricci tensor* and say that a G_2 manifold (M, ϕ) is *generalized Einstein* if $\text{Ric}_0^k = 0$ for some $k = (k_1, k_2)$.

Remark 4.3. Since rescaling Ric_0^k does not affect the generalized Einstein equation there is of course only an $\mathbb{RP}(1)$ -worth of such constraints, really.

As $S_0^2 V$ has multiplicity two in $\mathcal{K}$, any traceless symmetric two tensor on a G_2 manifold must equal Ric_0^k for some $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Before giving the formula we need to demonstrate how the components $\tau_2 \odot \tau_3$ and $\tau_3 \otimes \tau_3$ determine three-forms. For this, choose a local G_2 adapted frame $(e_1, \dots, e_7)$. For a two-form α and a three-form β set

$$[\alpha \odot \beta] := \sum_k i_{e_k} \alpha \wedge i_{e_k} \beta, \quad [\beta^2]^A := \sum_k *(i_{e_k} \beta \wedge i_{e_k} \beta), \quad [\beta^2]^B := \sum_k ((i_{e_k} \phi) \lrcorner \beta) \wedge i_{e_k} \beta.$$

These are independent of the chosen frame and so extend to smooth contractions on M . The first two contractions are in fact $SO(7)$ equivariant. The fact that V_7 does not occur in the decomposition of $S^2(V_{27})$ guarantees that for $\beta \in \Lambda_{27}^3$, $[\beta^2]^A$ and $[\beta^2]^B$ belong to $\Lambda_1^3 + \Lambda_{27}^3$. Since there is a summand isomorphic to V_7 in $\Lambda_{14}^2 \otimes \Lambda_{27}^3$ it is not quite obvious that $[\alpha \odot \beta] \in \Lambda_1^3 + \Lambda_{27}^3$ should hold for $\alpha \in \Lambda_{14}^2$ and $\beta \in \Lambda_{27}^3$, but this is nevertheless true.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (M, ϕ) be a G_2 manifold. Then*

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_3(\text{Ric}_0^k) = & -(5k_1 + 4k_2)d*(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) + 2(5k_1 + 4k_2)\tau_1 \wedge *(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) \\ & - (k_1 - 4k_2)d\tau_2 + \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + 2k_2)*(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) + (k_1 + 4k_2)*d\tau_3 + k_2[\tau_3^2]^A + \frac{1}{2}k_1[\tau_3^2]^B \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - 4k_2)\tau_0\tau_3 + (k_1 - 4k_2)\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 + (3k_1 - 4k_2)*(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_3) + 2k_2[\tau_2 \odot \tau_3]_{27}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proposition 4.1 and the remarks above show that any symmetric two-tensor coming from contraction of R^g with ϕ and g must be a linear combination of the terms on the right-hand side where coefficients are determined entirely in terms of the linear algebra of G_2 and so in particular are independent of the underlying manifold. Obtaining the given expression is then a matter of evaluating the left- and right-hand side on examples. $\square$

¹This is called the $*$ -Ricci tensor in [12]

For $k = (1, 0)$ this is due to Bryant [9] and so is the scalar curvature of a G_2 manifold: (see also [21, 28])

$$(4.25) \quad s^g = \frac{21}{8}\tau_0^2 + 12\delta\tau_1 + 30|\tau_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\tau_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\tau_3|^2.$$

We also introduce the so-called $\mathcal{W}$ -Ricci-tensor:

$$\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}} := \frac{1}{20} \left(4\text{Ric}_0^g - 5\text{Ric}_0^\phi \right).$$

Lemma 4.4 gives

Corollary 4.5. *The symmetric traceless tensor $\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}}$ is a conformal invariant of a G_2 structure.*

Proof. Under a conformal change $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi} = e^{3f}\phi$ the torsion is known to transform as $\tau = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_3) \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} = (e^{-f}\tau_0, \tau_1 + df, e^f\tau_2, e^{2f}\tau_3)$. Putting $\tilde{\tau}$ in to formula (4.24) a simple calculation verifies that with $k_1 = 4$, $k_2 = -5$ the generalized Ricci tensor merely rescales. $\square$

Remark 4.6. The subscript 27 at the end of equation (4.24) refers to the image under the projection p_{27}^3 . It is rather pleasing to note that all possible contributions are present in the formula. Since there is a two parameter family of generalized Ricci tensors and a two parameter family of contributions from $\tau_3 \otimes \tau_3$ it is not surprising that contributions from the chosen representatives A and B vanish for certain values k . That those are precisely $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ appears to be pure coincidence.

As noted in [9], a generic G_2 structure has a two-parameter family of ‘canonical’ G_2 connections $\nabla^{(s,t)}$. The generalized Ricci-curvature defined above should therefore have an interpretation as the symmetric part of the contraction $c_g(R^{\nabla^{(s,t)}})$ of the curvature $R^{\nabla^{(s,t)}}$ of $\nabla^{(s,t)}$. One clearly obtains different formulas for the Ricci-curvatures by expressing the exterior derivatives of the torsion components in terms of $d^{\nabla^{(s,t)}}$ instead. We do this below for $\bar{\nabla}$.

Obtaining formulas for curvature components in the manner described above is also possible for other geometries. In the case of $SU(3)$ -structures this has been done for the Ricci curvature in [3].

A rather long but straightforward computation on test elements shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d*(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) &= d^{\bar{\nabla}}*(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) + \frac{1}{2}*(\tau_0\tau_1 \wedge \phi) + \frac{8}{3}\tau_1 \wedge *(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) - 2|\tau_1|^2\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 + \frac{4}{3}(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2)_7 + \frac{2}{3}*(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_3) - \frac{4}{3}*(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_3)_7, \\ (4.26) \quad d\tau_2 &= d^{\bar{\nabla}}\tau_2 + \frac{2}{3}\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 + \frac{8}{3}(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2)_7 + \frac{1}{6}*(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) + \frac{1}{6}|\tau_2|^2\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}[\tau_2 \odot \tau_3] + \frac{1}{6}*((\tau_2 \lrcorner \tau_3) \wedge \phi), \\ d\tau_3 &= d^{\bar{\nabla}}\tau_3 - \frac{1}{6}*(\tau_0\tau_3) + \tau_1 \wedge \tau_3 - \frac{8}{3}*(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_3)_7 - \frac{1}{6}(\tau_2 \lrcorner \tau_3) \wedge \phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}[\tau_3^2]^A - \frac{1}{6}[\tau_3^2]^B + \frac{1}{6}|\tau_3|^2*\phi. \end{aligned}$$

It is somewhat remarkable that there is no summand $[\tau_2 \odot \tau_3]$ in the last expression. More surprises are in store when these expression are inserted in formula (4.24). First, for $\beta \in \Lambda^3 V^*$ define

$$[\beta^2]^C := [\beta^2]^A - 2[\beta^2]^B.$$

Then Lemma 4.4 is reformulated as

Lemma 4.7. *Let (M, ϕ) be a G_2 manifold. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (4.27) \quad \lambda_3(\text{Ric}_0^k) &= (-5k_1 + 4k_2)d^{\bar{\nabla}}*(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) - \frac{2}{3}(5k_1 + 4k_2)\tau_1 \wedge *(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) \\ &\quad - (k_1 - 4k_2)d^{\bar{\nabla}}\tau_2 + \frac{1}{3}(k_1 + 5k_2)*(\tau_2 \wedge \tau_2) + (k_1 + 4k_2)*d^{\bar{\nabla}}\tau_3 - \frac{1}{6}(k_1 - 2k_2)[\tau_3^2]^C \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3}(k_1 - 2k_2)\tau_0\tau_3 - \frac{4}{3}(k_1 + 2k_2)\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 + \frac{2}{3}(k_1 - 4k_2)*(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_3) + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 8k_2)[\tau_2 \odot \tau_3]_{27}. \end{aligned}$$

Tensor	Ric_0^g	$\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}}$	Ric^ϕ
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_7$	✓		✓
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_{14}$	✓	✓	✓
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_{27}$	✓	✓	✓
$\xi_7 \otimes \xi_7$	✓		✓
$\xi_{14} \otimes \xi_{14}$	✓	✓	✓
$(\xi_{27} \otimes \xi_{27})^C$	✓	✓	✓
$\xi_1 \odot \xi_{27}$	✓	✓	✓
$\xi_7 \odot \xi_{14}$	✓	✓	✓
$\xi_7 \odot \xi_{27}$	✓	✓	✓
$\xi_{14} \odot \xi_{27}$		✓	✓

TABLE 1. G_2 -irreducible components of tensors contributing to Ricci curvatures.

Corollary 4.8. *The components of the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}\xi$ and the symmetric products $\xi_d \odot \xi_{d'}$ contribute to the traceless symmetric tensors Ric^g , Ric^ϕ and $\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}}$ according to Table 1.*

Remark 4.9. Note that only one combination of a two parameter family of possible contributions from $\tau_3 \otimes \tau_3$ is realized.

A classification of G_2 structures with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$ for which the torsion is parallel with respect to the unique G_2 characteristic connection determined by having three-form torsion: $T(X, Y)Z = -T(X, Z)Y$ was pursued in [19]. One corollary of this is that structures with torsion $\tau = \tau_3$, parallel with respect to the characteristic connection are never Einstein, at least when the stabilizer of the torsion is not Abelian.

Note that when $d\bar{\nabla}\tau_3 = 0$ the Einstein equation for a G_2 structure of type 1 + 3 reduces to a quadratic equation $[\tau_3^2]^C + 4\tau_0\tau_3 = 0$ for a torsion tensor $\tau = (\tau_0, \tau_3)$. Moreover, if such a structure is Einstein then it is generalized Einstein for any value of $k = (k_1, k_2)$. It is very likely that this system has non-trivial solutions.

Now we can state one of our main results.

Theorem 4.10. *Let (M, ϕ) be a G_2 manifold with associated metric g . Then the space of algebraic curvature tensors has the orthogonal splitting:*

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{W}_{77} + \mathcal{W}_{64} + \mathcal{W}_{27} + \mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{S},$$

where the space of algebraic Weyl curvatures is $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{77} + \mathcal{W}_{64} + \mathcal{W}_{27}$. In terms of the scalar curvature, Ricci curvature and ϕ -Ricci curvature the orthogonal projections to these subspaces are

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{1}{84}s_g r_g(g), \\
R_0 &= \frac{1}{5}r_g(\text{Ric}_0^g), \\
W_{27} &= \frac{3}{112}(r_g - 5r_\phi)(\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}}), \\
W_{64} &= P^\odot(W - W_{27}), \\
W_{77} &= P^{\mathfrak{g}_2}(W - W_{27}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.28}$$

The three G_2 invariant components of the Weyl curvature are conformal invariants of the associated metric.

In particular, $W_{27} = 0$ exactly when $\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}} = 0$ and the norm of the Riemannian curvature satisfies the equality

$$\|\text{R}^g\|^2 = \|W_{77}\|^2 + \|W_{64}\|^2 + \frac{15}{28}\|\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}}\|^2 + \frac{4}{5}\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 + \frac{1}{21}s_g^2.$$

Tensor	Components in $\mathcal{K}$				Other components
	$V_{(0,2)}^{77}$	$V_{(1,1)}^{64}$	$V_{(2,0)}^{27}$	$V_{(0,0)}^1$	
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_1$					V^7
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_7$			✓	✓	V^7, V^{14}
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_{14}$		✓	✓		V^7
$\bar{\nabla}\xi_{27}$		✓	✓		$V^7, V^{14}, V_{(3,0)}^{77}$
$\xi_1 \otimes \xi_1$				✓	
$\xi_7 \otimes \xi_7$			✓	✓	
$\xi_{14} \otimes \xi_{14}$	✓		✓	✓	
$\xi_{27} \otimes \xi_{27}$	✓	✓	$2 \times \checkmark$	✓	$V_{(4,0)}^{182}$
$\xi_1 \odot \xi_7$					V^7
$\xi_1 \odot \xi_{14}$					V^{14}
$\xi_1 \odot \xi_{27}$			✓		
$\xi_7 \odot \xi_{14}$		✓	✓		V^7
$\xi_7 \odot \xi_{27}$		✓	✓		$V^7, V^{14}, V_{(3,0)}^{77}$
$\xi_{14} \odot \xi_{27}$		✓	✓		$V^7, V^{14}, V_{(2,1)}^{189}, V_{(3,0)}^{77}$
$\bar{R}$	✓	✓	$2 \times \checkmark$	✓	$V^7, V^{14}, V_{(3,0)}^{77}$

TABLE 2. G_2 -irreducible components of tensors contributing to curvature.

Proof. The first statement (which may also be found in [9]) is clear. Write the Riemannian curvature as

$$R^g = W_{77} + W_{64} + (r_g(h_1) - 5r_\phi(h_1)) + r_g(h_2) + Kr_g(g),$$

where h_1 and h_2 are traceless symmetric two-tensors, and apply the contractions c^g and c^ϕ to obtain the first three equations in (4.28). The last two relations in (4.28) follow from the decompositions (4.19). The expression for the norm of the Riemannian curvature is now a matter of using the following relations

$$\|r_g(h)\|^2 = 20 \|h\|^2, \quad \|r_\phi(h)\|^2 = \frac{92}{3} \|h\|^2, \quad \langle r_\phi(h), r_g(h) \rangle = 4 \|h\|^2,$$

valid for any traceless symmetric two-tensor h , while $\|r_g(g)\|^2 = 336$.

The conformal invariance of the three components W_{77} , W_{64} and W_{27} follows from the conformal invariance of W and invariance of orthogonal projection under rescaling of an inner product. $\square$

Remark 4.11. Note that the components of $\bar{\nabla}\xi$ and $\xi \odot \xi$ given in the right-most column of Table 2 correspond to the second order diffeomorphism invariants of (M, ϕ) not captured by the Riemannian curvature, see [9]. Another interesting point made in [9] (and in [31] for almost Hermitian structures) is that the first order identities for the torsion that derive from $d^2\phi = 0 = d^2*\phi$ are encoded in a subspace isomorphic to $2V_7 + V_{14}$. For G_2 these relations between invariants necessarily take their values in the complement of the space of algebraic curvature tensors inside the much larger space of diffeomorphism invariant polynomials in the derivatives of ϕ up to order two. Andrew Swann has pointed out to us that these first order constraints on the torsion can be seen as coming from the fact that the cokernel of the restriction $b: \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^* \otimes V$ is isomorphic to precisely $2V_7 + V_{14}$, and that the restriction $b: \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp \rightarrow \Lambda^3 V^* \otimes V$ is injective.

For the examples in section 5 and 6 applying these principles show that all (polynomial) diffeomorphism invariants up to order 2 are determined by the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor, a single one-form (which may be taken to be $d\tau_0$) and tensors, polynomial up to degree

two in the torsion components. This appears to be a distinguishing feature of the wider class of G_2 -structures of type.

We thank Robert Bryant for bringing the importance of the full space of second order diffeomorphism invariants of a G_2 structure to our attention.

5. CURVATURE OF G_2 STRUCTURES OF TYPE 1 + 4

Although the results of this section are well known, we take this class G_2 -structures as a first example to demonstrate how the results of the previous sections can be used. The inspection of the structure equations (3.14) with $\tau_2 = \tau_3 = 0$ yields: $d\tau_1 = 0$, $d\tau_0 + \tau_0\tau_1 = 0$. An easy argument now shows that either $\tau_0 \equiv 0$ and τ_1 is closed, or τ_0 is never zero and τ_1 exact: $\tau_1 = -d\ln(\tau_0)$. Therefore the class 1 + 4 of G_2 -structures consists in G_2 structures ϕ locally conformally equivalent to a parallel structure and in those globally conformally equivalent to a nearly parallel structure, see [11] for details. From the entries in Table 2 and Proposition 4.1 it is clear that the Riemannian curvature of any such structure has $W_{64} = 0$. The formulas of either of the Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7 show that $\text{Ric}^{\mathcal{W}} = 0$ and so $W_{27} = 0$ for type 1 + 4 structures. The two remaining curvature components in $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}_2)^\perp$ are determined by the torsion components as follows.

$$s_g = \frac{21}{8}\tau_0^2 + 12\delta\tau_1 + 30|\tau_1|^2 \quad \lambda_3(\text{Ric}_0^g) = (-5d\bar{\nabla}^*(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi) - \frac{10}{3}\tau_1 \wedge *(\tau_1 \wedge *\phi))_{27}.$$

Nearly parallel G_2 structures. In particular, it is also clear that when $\tau_1 = 0$ the associated Riemannian metric is Einstein with positive scalar curvature. This is a well known fact of nearly parallel (type 1) or weak holonomy G_2 manifolds, see [23]. The form of the curvature for a nearly parallel G_2 structure was given as follows in [33, 10]

Lemma 5.1. *The Riemannian curvature tensor of the metric associated to a nearly parallel G_2 structure has the form $R^g = W + \frac{1}{32}\tau_0^2 r_g(g)$, where $W \in \mathcal{W}_{77}$.*

Locally conformally parallel G_2 structures. When on the other hand $\tau_0 = 0$ one has a type 4 structure. Ricci and scalar curvature in this case are non-trivial, in general. Compact manifolds with G_2 structure locally conformal to a parallel G_2 structure were described in [25, 36].

Curvature of parallel G_2 structures. When $d\phi = 0 = d*\phi$, the only non-trivial component of the Riemannian curvature is precisely W_{77} , see [1].

Remark 5.2. An immediate consequence of the discussion above is that all G_2 structures in the torsion class 1+4 are generalized Einstein for $k = (4, -5)$. Nearly parallel and parallel G_2 structures are generalized Einstein for all values of k . These examples show how certain restrictions on the torsion gives restrictions in the form of the curvature. As we shall see in section 8 drawing conclusions the other way around is not feasible. Even when the form of the curvature is that of a parallel G_2 manifold, i.e., $R^g = W_{77}$, the only constraint on the torsion type is that of scalar-flatness.

6. CURVATURE OF CLOSED G_2 STRUCTURES

A closed G_2 structure is by definition given by a closed G_2 three-form ϕ . Let us first examine the consequences of the structure equations (3.14) in this case. When $d\phi = 0$ the torsion τ has only one component $\tau = \tau_2$:

$$(6.29) \quad d*\phi = \tau \wedge \phi,$$

whence $\delta^g\phi = \tau = \bar{\xi}$. This is equivalent to the equation $\wedge_3(\xi) = 0$ for the intrinsic torsion ξ viewed as a tensor in $\Lambda^2 V^* \otimes V^*$. This is just the identity

$$(6.30) \quad \xi_{ijk} + \xi_{jki} + \xi_{kij} = 0,$$

for components of ξ in an orthonormal frame. Differentiating and applying the Hodge star operator to the structure equation (6.29) yields

$$(6.31) \quad d\tau \wedge \phi = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^g\tau = 0.$$

The first equation is equivalent to $(d\tau)_\tau = 0$ while the second may be interpreted as $\nabla^g \tau$ having no component in the 7 dimensional irreducible $SO(7)$ -submodule of $V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^*$. Using Table 2 and Lemma 2.1 either of the equations (6.31) is equivalent to the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose $d\phi = 0$. Then $\bar{\nabla}\tau \in V_{64} + V_{27} \subset V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$.* $\square$

Equation (4.26) becomes

$$(6.32) \quad d\bar{\nabla}\tau = d\tau - \frac{1}{6}*(\tau \wedge \tau) - \frac{1}{6}|\tau|^2 \phi,$$

after rearranging. Lemma 6.1 shows that $d\bar{\nabla}\tau \in \Lambda_{27}^3$. This furnishes the observation:

$$(6.33) \quad \frac{1}{3}*d(\tau^3) = \langle d\tau, *(\tau \wedge \tau) \rangle = \langle d\bar{\nabla}\tau, *(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27} \rangle.$$

The importance of this innocent looking set of relations is that the left-hand side clearly integrates to zero on a compact manifold M . We will use this in several places below.

6.1. The Ricci curvature of a closed G_2 structure. Some of the next results have appeared in slightly different form and for the special case of $k = (1, 0)$ in [9] and [12]. The main difference here concerns the interpretation of the results in terms of the components of the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}\tau$.

When the G_2 three-form is closed the formula for the generalized Ricci curvature (4.27) may be written

$$(6.34) \quad \lambda_3(\text{Ric}_0^k) = -(k_1 - 4k_2)d\bar{\nabla}\tau + \frac{1}{3}(k_1 + 5k_2)*(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27}.$$

This leads to

$$(6.35) \quad \|\text{Ric}_0^k\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - 4k_2)^2 |d\bar{\nabla}\tau|^2 + \frac{1}{21}(k_1 + 5k_2)^2 |\tau|^4 \\ - \frac{1}{3}(k_1 + 5k_2)(k_1 - 4k_2) \langle d\bar{\nabla}\tau, *(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27} \rangle.$$

Here we have used equation (2.5) and the following relations, valid for any two-form $\tau \in \Lambda_{14}^2$, see [9]

$$*(\tau \wedge \tau \wedge \phi) = -|\tau|^2, \quad |\tau \wedge \tau|^2 = |\tau|^4 \quad \text{and} \quad |(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27}|^2 = \frac{6}{7}|\tau|^4.$$

All these may be verified by observing that, since the trivial representation occurs in the symmetric powers $S^2 \Lambda_{14}^2$ and $S^4 \Lambda_{14}^2$ with multiplicity one, the left- and right-hand side of the equations must be proportional. The constant of proportionality is then found by evaluating on a sample element. Alternatively, the last equation follows from the first two by projecting $(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27} = \tau \wedge \tau + \frac{1}{7}|\tau|^2 * \phi$ and taking norms.

Recall the scalar curvature of the metric associated to a closed G_2 structure is $s_g = -\frac{1}{2}|\tau|^2$. Integrate (6.35) over the compact manifold to get with the help of (6.33)

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose (M, ϕ) is a compact G_2 manifold with $d\phi = 0$. Then*

$$(6.36) \quad \int_M \|\text{Ric}_0^k\|^2 dV_g \geq \frac{4}{21}(k_1 + 5k_2)^2 \int_M s_g^2 dV_g,$$

where equality holds if and only if $k_1 = 4k_2$ or $d\bar{\nabla}\tau = 0$. In particular, if any one of Ric_0^g , Ric_0^ϕ or Ric^W is zero then $\tau = 0$.

This includes the inequality established in [9] for $k = (1, 0)$. Bryant studied equality in (6.36) for $k = (1, 0)$ and referred to the case, as that of an *extremally pinched Ricci curvature*. Such structures are characterized by

$$\|\text{Ric}_0^k\|^2 = \frac{4}{21}s_g^2,$$

which, in view of Proposition 6.2 is equivalent to the condition $d\bar{\nabla}\tau = 0$. Bryant showed that the scalar curvature is constant if the manifold is compact and gave a homogeneous compact example.

Closely related statements are obtained by applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to the last summand of equation (6.35). Here M is not required to be compact.

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose M is a manifold equipped with a closed G_2 structure ϕ with torsion τ . Let k_1, k_2 be real numbers and set $K_1 := |k_1 - 4k_2|$, $K_2 := |k_1 + 5k_2|$. Then the inequality*

$$(6.37) \quad \frac{1}{2}(K_1|d\bar{\nabla}\tau| - \sqrt{\frac{2}{21}}K_2|\tau|^2)^2 \leq \|\text{Ric}_0^k\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(K_1|d\bar{\nabla}\tau| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{21}}K_2|\tau|^2)^2$$

holds everywhere in M . If, in particular, $d\bar{\nabla}\tau = 0$ then $\|\text{Ric}_0^k\|^2 = \frac{4}{21}(k_1 + 5k_2)^2 s_g^2$ $\square$

Remark 6.4. Note that equation (6.34) and integration of (6.35) over a compact G_2 manifold has an additional consequence: a compact M with closed fundamental form is generalized Einstein for $k = (k_1, k_2)$ if and only if it is either parallel (in which case it is generalized Einstein for all k) or $k_1 + 5k_2 = 0$ and ϕ has extremally pinched Ricci curvature. This result is implicitly contained in the discussion of Remark 14 in [9].

6.2. The curvature component W_{64} . Let $S: \mathfrak{so}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(n)$ be defined by $S(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \beta \otimes \alpha$.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose ϕ is a closed G_2 three-form with associated metric g . Let τ be the torsion of ϕ and write $\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64}$ and $\bar{\nabla}\tau_{27}$ for the respective components of $\bar{\nabla}\tau$ in the 64 and 7 dimensional subspaces of $V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$. Then the component W_{64} of the Riemannian curvature R^g satisfies*

$$W_{64} = S((\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64}) + (\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64} = S(\bar{R}_{64}) + \bar{R}_{64}.$$

Furthermore, $\|W_{64}\|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\|\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64}\|^2$.

Proof. Note that $W_{64} \in S^2(\mathfrak{so}(7))$ so $S(W_{64}) = W_{64}$. Since $\xi \otimes \xi$ lies in a submodule of $S^2(V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp)$ isomorphic to $S^2(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, the tensor (ξ^2) does not contribute to W_{64} and so $W_{64} = \bar{R}_{64} + (\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64} = S(\bar{R}_{64} + (\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64})$. However, $\bar{R}_{64} \in \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2$ while $(\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64} \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp$, so

$$\mathfrak{g}_2^\perp \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2 \ni \bar{R}_{64} - S((\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64}) = (\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64} - S(\bar{R}_{64}) \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2^\perp.$$

Therefore $\bar{R}_{64} = S((\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64})$ and $W_{64} = S((\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64}) + (\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{64} = S(\bar{R}_{64}) + \bar{R}_{64}$.

Let e_i be a local orthonormal frame. Using equation (3.15) and (3.17) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}\xi)_{ijkl} &= \bar{\nabla}_i \xi_{jkl} - \bar{\nabla}_j \xi_{ikl} = \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\nabla}_i \tau_{jp} - \bar{\nabla}_j \tau_{ip})\phi_{pkl}, = \frac{1}{6}((d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijp} - \bar{\nabla}_p \tau_{ij})\phi_{pkl} \\ &= \frac{1}{6}((d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijp} - (\bar{\nabla}\tau_{27})_{pij} - (\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64})_{pij})\phi_{pkl}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we make explicit use of the principle given by equation (3.12). Since $d\bar{\nabla}\tau \in \Lambda_{27}^3$ by Lemma 6.1, projection gives $(\bar{\nabla}\xi_{64})_{ijkl} = -\frac{1}{6}(\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64})_{pij}\phi_{pkl}$. Take the tensor norm and use equation (2.6) to get

$$\|(\bar{\nabla}\xi_{64})\|^2 = \frac{1}{36}(\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64})_{pij}\phi_{pkl}(\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64})_{qij}\phi_{qkl}, = \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64})_{pij}(\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64})_{pij}, = \frac{1}{6}\|\bar{\nabla}\tau_{64}\|^2.$$

The final equation of the Lemma follows from this. $\square$

6.3. Closed fundamental three-forms with parallel torsion. We briefly describe the only known example of a G_2 structure with closed fundamental form and extremally pinched Ricci curvature on a compact manifold, due to Robert Bryant [9]. Let G be the space of affine transformations of $\mathbb{C}^2$ preserving the canonical complex volume form. Then $SU(2)$ is a subgroup in G and $M = G/SU(2)$ is a 7-dimensional homogeneous space, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^7$. It admits an invariant closed G_2 structure ϕ with extremally pinched Ricci curvature, as well as a free and properly discontinuous action of a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ for which ϕ is invariant. So ϕ descends to a closed G_2 structure $\tilde{\phi}$ with extremally pinched Ricci curvature on the compact quotient $\tilde{M} := \Gamma \backslash M$.

Andrew Swann made us aware of the following alternative description of Bryant's example. Note that $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) = SU(2).Sol_3$ where Sol_3 is the space of complex upper triangular 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} e^t & z \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$, with t real and z a complex number (by Iwasawa decomposition, or simply applying the

Gram-Schmidt process to the column vectors of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$). This gives the alternative description of M as the Lie group $Sol_3 \rtimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Taking any basis of left-invariant one-forms $e = (e^i)$ on M thought of as a Lie group gives a G_2 three-form ϕ by requiring that e is a G_2 adapted frame field and hence multitude of left-invariant G_2 structures on M . Below we shall show that up to isometries there is only one closed three-form with extremally pinched Ricci curvature among the many invariant three-forms M bears.

Even better, we can show that any manifold M' with closed G_2 structure ϕ' such that the torsion τ is parallel with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$, is locally isometric to (M, ϕ) . Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.5 give

Lemma 6.6. *A closed G_2 form ϕ has extremally pinched Ricci curvature if and only if its torsion τ satisfies $(\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{27} = 0$. The torsion of a closed G_2 form ϕ is $\bar{\nabla}$ -parallel if and only if ϕ has extremally pinched Ricci curvature and the component W_{64} of the Riemannian curvature is zero.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2a. If $\bar{\nabla}\tau = 0$ then (M, ϕ) has extremally pinched Ricci curvature and the norm of the torsion is constant. From this it follows that τ has constant rank 4, see Remark 13 of [9]. The stabilizer algebra of τ in $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is then isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ and the holonomy algebra of $\bar{\nabla}$ accordingly reduces to a $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_2$. There are two such, distinguished as follows. The subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ of dimension 4 are both contained in the maximal $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ and both isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(2)$. The action of $\mathfrak{so}(4) \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$ on $\mathbb{R}^7$ may be written in terms of the standard representations V_+, V_- of $\mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{su}_+(2) + \mathfrak{su}_-(2)$ as $\mathbb{R}^7 = S^2V_+ + V_+ \otimes V_-$ (modulo complexifications). Take a basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of $V_+ \otimes V_- \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ and e_5, e_6, e_7 of S^2V_+ . Note that under the action of $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ the space of two-forms decomposes as

$$\Lambda^2 = 2S^2V_+ + (S^3V_+ + V_+) \otimes V_- + S^2V_-, \quad \text{whence} \quad \Lambda_{14}^2 = S^2V_+ + S^2V_- + S^3V_+ \otimes V_-.$$

Explicit bases of the subspaces $S^2V_{\pm}$ are $h := e^{12} + e^{34} - 2e^{56}, x := e^{13} + e^{42} - 2e^{67}, y := -(e^{14} + e^{23} - 2e^{57})$ for S^2V_+ and $t := e^{12} - e^{34}$ and so on for S^2V_- . Note that elements of S^2V_+ always have rank 6. Therefore the stabilizer of a rank 4 element of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is the algebra $\mathfrak{u}_+(2) = \mathbb{R}_- + \mathfrak{su}_+(2) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4) \subset \mathfrak{g}_2$. This acts on $\mathbb{R}^7$ by $S^2V_+ + (L + \bar{L})V_+$ and on Λ^2 by

$$2S^2V_+ + (L^2 + \mathbb{R} + \bar{L}^2) + (L + \bar{L})(S^3V_+ + V_+).$$

Here L is the standard complex representation of $\mathbb{R} = \mathfrak{u}(1)$ on $\mathbb{C}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_+(2)$ has two non-trivial ideals isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. The induced representations on $\mathbb{R}^7$ are $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus 2(L + \bar{L})$ and $S^2V_+ + 2V_+$, respectively. Neither is an irreducible holonomy representation and so $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{u}_+(2))$ is trivial, see [14] for further details. By assumption $\bar{\nabla}\xi = 0$ and so 4.1 may be applied to conclude that M with its induced metric g is locally isometric to some homogenous space.

Which homogeneous space may be determined by the Nomizu construction, see [32]. Given ξ and $\bar{R}$ a Lie bracket is constructed on $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{u}_+(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$ by setting $[A + x, B + y] := ([A, B] - \bar{R}_{x,y}) + (Ay - Bx - \xi_x y + \xi_y x)$. Now the universal covering space of M is the homogeneous space G/H where G is the connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and H is the connected subgroup of G with algebra $\mathfrak{u}_+(2)$. Note that H is closed in G by virtue of the completeness of g . The induced action of H on $\mathbb{R}^7$ is as the stabilizer group of the pair (ϕ, τ) , whence $H \cong U(2) \subset G_2$. To calculate G we fix $\tau := 6t$. The elements $t, h, x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \cong \Lambda_{14}^2$ defined above can then be taken as generators for $\mathfrak{u}_+(2)$. The intrinsic torsion ξ is obtained through (3.16). The curvature operator $\bar{R}$ is calculated by noting that $R^g = \bar{R} + (\xi^2)$ (c.f. (4.18)) must satisfy the Bianchi identity whence $b\bar{R} = -b((\xi^2))$. Explicitly, the two subspaces of Λ^2 isomorphic to S^2V_+ are generated by

$$a_1 = e^{56}, \quad b_1 = e^{67}, \quad c_1 = e^{75}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = e^{12} + e^{34}, \quad b_2 = e^{13} + e^{42}, \quad c_2 = -(e^{14} + e^{23}).$$

By $\mathfrak{u}_+(2)$ invariance of $\bar{R}$ it then follows that constants A, B and C exist such that

$$(6.38) \quad \bar{R} = At \otimes t + B(a_1 \otimes h + b_1 \otimes x + c_1 \otimes y) + C(a_2 \otimes h + b_2 \otimes x + c_2 \otimes y).$$

On the other hand, using (6.30) several times gives $b((\xi^2))_{ijkl} = \xi_{pij}\xi_{lkp} + \xi_{pjk}\xi_{lip} + \xi_{pki}\xi_{ljp}$. Therefore $b(\bar{R})_{ijkl} = -(\xi_{pij}\xi_{lkp} + \xi_{pjk}\xi_{lip} + \xi_{pki}\xi_{ljp})$. Evaluating left- and right- hand side now

gives $b(\bar{R})_{1234} = -A + 3C = 0$, $b(\bar{R})_{1256} = -2C = 0$, $b(\bar{R})_{5612} = B = -2$, whence $\bar{R} = -2(a_2 \otimes h + b_2 \otimes x + c_2 \otimes y)$. As a map $\mathfrak{so}(7) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_+(2)$ the range of $\bar{R}$ is then $\mathfrak{su}_+(2)$. Note that this allows to replace $\mathfrak{u}_+(2)$ by $\mathfrak{su}_+(2)$ in the construction of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is now a trivial exercise to write down the Lie brackets on $\mathfrak{su}_+(2) + \mathbb{R}^7$ and verify that, indeed, $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^2$. $\square$

Remark 6.7. Many examples of homogeneous spaces (with compact quotients) admitting invariant closed G_2 -structures are known, see [16, 17]. Generically these do not admit a closed three-form with extremally pinched Ricci curvature, by Theorem 1.2. Due to (6.32) in fact, the condition of having extremally pinched Ricci curvature may be seen as a non-degeneracy requirement on the derivative $d\tau$, which is, again generally speaking, not satisfied on examples built from nilpotent and solvable Lie algebras.

The space $G/SU(2)$ with its invariant closed three-form with extremally pinched Ricci curvature may be described in several different ways as we have already seen. A very concrete way is provided by the rank one solvable extension of the complex Heisenberg group given by the structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} de^1 &= -e^{17} - 2(e^{36} + e^{45}), & de^2 &= -e^{27} - 2(e^{35} + e^{64}), \\ de^3 &= e^{37}, & de^4 &= e^{47}, & de^5 &= -2e^{57}, & de^6 &= -2e^{67}, & de^7 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here the closed ϕ with extremally pinched Ricci curvature may taken to be the left-invariant three-form given by equation (2.2).

7. TOPOLOGY OF CLOSED G_2 STRUCTURES. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2B AND THEOREM 1.1B

Closed G_2 structures are distinguished also by certain naturally associated topological data.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose M is a compact 7 dimensional manifold with a closed fundamental three-form ϕ . Then*

$$(7.39) \quad \langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \left\{ \|W_{77}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|W_{64}\|^2 - \frac{9}{7} \|\text{Ric}_6^g\|^2 + \frac{45}{28^2} s_g^2 \right\} dV_g,$$

where $p_1(M)$ is the first Pontrjagin class of M .

This generalizes Proposition 10.2.7. of [26].

Proof. We shall be working in a local orthonormal frame e_i . First, equations (2.6) and (6.30) yield

$$R_{ijab}^g = \bar{R}_{ijab} + \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\nabla}_i \tau_{jp} - \bar{\nabla}_j \tau_{ip})\phi_{pab} + \frac{1}{36}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pr}\phi_{qij}\phi_{rab} + \frac{1}{36}(\tau_{ia}\tau_{jb} - \tau_{ib}\tau_{ja}) - \frac{1}{18}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqab}.$$

This relates the Riemannian curvature R^g of the metric g associated to ϕ with the curvature $\bar{R}$ of the canonical connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of ϕ with torsion expressed in terms of τ rather than the intrinsic torsion ξ . Note that from Chern-Weil theory $p_1(M)$ may be represented by the 4-form

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \text{tr}(R^g \wedge R^g) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} R_{ijab}^g R_{klab}^g e^{ijkl}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi^2 \langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle &= \int_M \text{tr}(R^g \wedge R^g) \wedge \phi = \int_M \langle \text{tr}(R^g \wedge R^g), *\phi \rangle dV_g, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M R_{abij}^g R_{cdij}^g \phi_{abcd} dV_g = - \int_M \|R^g\|^2 dV_g + \frac{1}{2} \int_M (R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt})(R_{ijcd}^g \phi_{cdt}) dV_g. \end{aligned}$$

The contraction $R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt} &= \frac{1}{6}(\bar{\nabla}_i \tau_{jp} - \bar{\nabla}_j \tau_{ip})\phi_{pab}\phi_{abt} + \frac{1}{36}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pr}\phi_{qij}\phi_{rab}\phi_{abt} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{36}(\tau_{ia}\tau_{jb} - \tau_{ib}\tau_{ja})\phi_{abt} - \frac{1}{18}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqab}\phi_{abt} \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_i \tau_{jt} - \bar{\nabla}_j \tau_{it} + \frac{1}{6}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij} - \frac{1}{6}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt}. \end{aligned}$$

where the identities (2.6)- (2.10) are applied. By definition $\wedge_3(\bar{\nabla}\tau) =: d\bar{\nabla}\tau$ so

$$(7.40) \quad R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt} = ((d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijt} - \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}) + \frac{1}{6}(\tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij} - \tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt})$$

The evaluation of the integrand $(R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt})(R_{ijcd}^g \phi_{cdt})$ is now reduced to evaluating 9 different contractions. Some of these are easy, for instance

$$\begin{aligned} [(d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijt} - \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}][(d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijt} - \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}] &= 2|d\bar{\nabla}\tau|^2 + \|\bar{\nabla}\tau\|^2, \\ \tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij}\tau_{rs}\tau_{rt}\phi_{sij} &= 6\tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\tau_{rq}\tau_{rt} = 6|\tau|^4. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is obtained by the standard method: observe that the right hand side is a fourth order homogeneous G_2 invariant polynomial in $\tau \in \Lambda_{14}^2$. So up to scale it must equal $|\tau|^4$. The constant of proportionality is found by evaluating on a test element. In the same way one obtains $\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt}\tau_{ir}\tau_{js}\phi_{rst} = 3|\tau|^4$ and $\tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij}\tau_{ir}\tau_{js}\phi_{rst} = 0$. To evaluate the remaining terms first note that

$$\begin{aligned} (*(\tau \wedge \tau) + |\tau|^2 \phi)_{ijt} &= \tau_{pq}(\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij} + \tau_{pi}\phi_{qjt} + \tau_{pj}\phi_{qti}) \\ &= 2(\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt} + \tau_{jp}\tau_{iq}\phi_{pqi} + \tau_{tp}\tau_{iq}\phi_{pqj}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.1 and relation (6.33) then imply that $(d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijt}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij} = \frac{2}{3}*d(\tau^3)$, and $(d\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{ijt}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt} = \frac{1}{3}*d(\tau^3)$. The contraction $\bar{\nabla}_k \tau_{ij}\phi_{ijl}$ is zero for all k and l as $\bar{\nabla}\tau \in V^* \otimes \Lambda_{14}^2$. So $\bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pt}\phi_{qij} = 0$. Using this identity and $\wedge_3(\xi) = 0$ repeatedly, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt} &= -\bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{ip}(\tau_{pq}\phi_{tqj} + \tau_{tq}\phi_{jqp}) = \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pi}\phi_{qjt} + \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{tq}(\tau_{jp}\phi_{qip} + \tau_{qp}\phi_{ijp}) \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{pq}\tau_{pi}\phi_{qjt} - \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{jp}(\tau_{iq}\phi_{qpt} + \tau_{pq}\phi_{qti}) \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{pq}(\tau_{pi}\phi_{qjt} + \tau_{pj}\phi_{qti} + \tau_{pt}\phi_{qij}) + \bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\bar{\nabla}_t \tau_{ij}\tau_{ip}\tau_{jq}\phi_{pqt} = \frac{1}{3}*d(\tau^3)$. The net result is

$$(R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt})(R_{ijcd}^g \phi_{cdt}) = 2|d\bar{\nabla}\tau|^2 + \|\bar{\nabla}\tau\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\tau|^4 + \frac{2}{9}*d(\tau^3).$$

Using equation (6.35) with $k = (1, 0)$ and Lemmas 2.1 and 6.5, this may be reformulated as

$$(7.41) \quad (R_{ijab}^g \phi_{abt})(R_{ijcd}^g \phi_{cdt}) = 3\|W_{64}\|^2 + \frac{40}{7}\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 - \frac{13}{147}s_g^2 + \frac{34}{63}*d(\tau^3).$$

Take $k = (1, 0)$ and $k = (4, -5)$ in (6.35) and make a simple substitution using (6.33). Now apply Stokes' Theorem to the obtained equalities. This gives

$$(7.42) \quad \int_M \left(36\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 - 25\|\text{Ric}^W\|^2 - \frac{45}{28}s_g^2 \right) dV_g = 0.$$

Integrating (7.41), applying Theorem 4.10 and (7.42) we finally obtain (7.39). $\square$

Proposition 7.2. *Suppose M is a compact 7-dimensional manifold equipped with a closed G_2 structure ϕ . Then*

(a)

$$\langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \left\{ \|W_{77}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|W_{64}\|^2 - \frac{3}{16}s_g^2 \right\} dV_g.$$

Equality holds if and only if ϕ has extremally pinched Ricci curvature.

(b)

$$\langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \left\{ \|W_{77}\|^2 - \frac{3}{16}s_g^2 \right\} dV_g.$$

Equality holds if and only if $\bar{\nabla}\tau = 0$.

(c)

$$\langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \|W_{77}\|^2 dV_g,$$

where equality holds if and only if $\tau = 0$.

Proof. Using (6.35) in formula (7.39) gives

$$\langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \left\{ \|W_{77}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|W_{64}\|^2 - \frac{9}{14} |d\bar{\nabla}\tau|^2 - \frac{3}{16} s_g^2 \right\} dV_g.$$

This gives the first statement. The second follows by Lemma 6.5. The third is now a consequence of the scalar curvature formula (4.25) for a closed G_2 structure. $\square$

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose M is compact, equipped with a closed G_2 three-form ϕ . Suppose furthermore that the component W_{77} of the Riemannian curvature is zero. Then*

$$\langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle \geq 0$$

and equality holds if and only if ϕ is parallel and the associated metric is flat.

If, furthermore, the cohomology class $[\phi]$ contains a parallel G_2 three-form ϕ' then equality is attained and $\phi = \phi'$.

Proof. When $W_{77} = 0$, Proposition 7.2 c gives $\langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $\tau = 0$. If $\tau = 0$ then $W_{64} = 0 = W_{27}$ and $\text{Ric}^g = 0$ (see section 5). This gives the first statement of the Corollary.

Suppose now that ϕ is closed with $W_{77} = 0$ and that a parallel G_2 three-form ϕ' exists in $[\phi]$. Then $0 \geq \langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi'], [M] \rangle = \langle p_1(M) \cup [\phi], [M] \rangle \geq 0$. Therefore the torsion of ϕ is zero and $\phi = \phi'$. $\square$

In particular, suppose ϕ is a closed G_2 structure on a compact manifold M . If β is a two-form on M that is not closed such that $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + d\beta$ is a G_2 three-form then the metric $\tilde{g}$ associated to $\tilde{\phi}$ has $W_{77}^{\tilde{g}} \neq 0$. This is independent of the value of W_{77} for ϕ .

7.1. Proofs of main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.2b. The stated result is now an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2a and Corollary $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose $W_{27} = 0$. Then Theorem 4.10 and Proposition 6.2 yield ϕ is parallel. This gives the first statement of Theorem 1.1. The second statement is a consequence of the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 7.4 (Integral Weitzenböck Formula for τ). *Let τ be the torsion of a closed G_2 structure ϕ on a compact manifold M . Then*

$$(7.43) \quad \int_M 4R^g(\tau, \tau) dV_g = \int_M (2|d\bar{\nabla}\tau|^2 - \|\bar{\nabla}\tau\|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |\tau|^4) dV_g.$$

Proof. This may also be found in [12]. However, as conventions are different we give an outline. Take the covariant derivative of the one-form Θ with components $R_{ijkl}^g \phi_{pij} \tau_{kl}$ (expressed in terms of a local orthonormal frame) with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and contract with the metric. The result is clearly a divergence and so, by Stokes' Theorem integrates to zero on the compact M . But we also have $\delta^g \Theta = -(\nabla_p^g R_{ijkl}^g) \phi_{pij} \tau_{kl} - R_{ijkl}^g \nabla_p^g \phi_{pij} \tau_{kl} - R_{ijkl}^g \phi_{pij} \nabla_p^g \tau_{kl}$. Writing $\delta^g \phi = \tau$ and applying the second Bianchi identity to the factor $\nabla_p^g R_{ijkl}^g \phi_{pij}$ gives $\delta^g \Theta = R_{ijkl}^g \tau_{ij} \tau_{kl} - R_{ijkl}^g \phi_{pij} \nabla_p^g \tau_{kl}$. The proof is finished by expressing $\nabla^g \tau$ as a covariant derivative with respect to the canonical connection and then using the identities needed to prove equation (7.39). $\square$

The integrand $R^g(\tau, \tau)$ may be evaluated using Theorem 4.10, while the norms of the derivatives and τ may be expressed in terms of curvature components using formula (6.35) and Lemma 6.5. This gives

Lemma 7.5. *Let (M, ϕ) be a compact G_2 manifold M with closed G_2 structure ϕ and torsion τ . Then the following integral identity holds*

$$\int_M (4W_{77}(\tau, \tau) + 3 \|W_{64}\|^2) dV_g = \int_M \left(\frac{16}{7} \|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 + \frac{127}{196} s_g^2 \right) dV_g.$$

Proof. A computation in an orthogonal frame shows that $r_g(h)(\tau, \tau) = -h_{pq}\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr}$, $r_g(g)(\tau, \tau) = -2|\tau|^2 = 4s_g$ and $r_\phi(h) = -\frac{2}{3}h_{pq}\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr} + \frac{1}{3}\text{tr}_g(h)|\tau|^2$ for any symmetric two-tensor h . This gives

$$(7.44) \quad 4R^g(\tau, \tau) = 4W_{77}(\tau, \tau) - \frac{1}{4}(\text{Ric}_0^{(3,1/4)})_{pq}\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr} + \frac{4}{21}s_g^2.$$

The contraction $\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr}$ gives the components of the tensor $\tau \otimes_g \tau := (e_r \lrcorner \tau) \otimes (e_r \lrcorner \tau) = \frac{1}{2}\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr}e^p \odot e^q$. It is easy to check that $\lambda_3(\tau \otimes_g \tau) = *(\tau \wedge \tau) + |\tau|^2 \phi$, and $\lambda_3(\text{Ric}_0^{(3,1/4)}) = -2d\bar{\nabla}\tau + \frac{17}{12}*(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27}$ follows from (6.34). Relating inner products of two-tensors and three-forms via (2.5) and using equation (6.33) gives

$$(7.45) \quad (\text{Ric}_0^{(3,1/4)})_{pq}\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr} = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle -2d\bar{\nabla}\tau + \frac{17}{12}*(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27}, *(\tau \wedge \tau)_{27} \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{3}*d(\tau^3) - \frac{17}{7}s_g^2,$$

whence $4R^g(\tau, \tau) = 4W_{77}(\tau, \tau) - \frac{5}{12}s_g^2 + \frac{1}{12}*d(\tau^3)$, and clearly

$$(7.46) \quad \int_M 4R^g(\tau, \tau) dV_g = \int_M (4W_{77}(\tau, \tau) - \frac{5}{12}s_g^2) dV_g.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|d\bar{\nabla}\tau|^2 - \|\bar{\nabla}\tau\|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|\tau|^4 &= -\|(\bar{\nabla}\tau)_{64}\|^2 + (2 - \frac{6}{7})(2\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 - \frac{8}{21}s_g^2 + \frac{2}{9}*d(\tau^3)) + \frac{2}{3}s_g^2 \\ &= -3\|W_{64}\|^2 + \frac{16}{7}\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 + \frac{2 \cdot 17}{3 \cdot 49}s_g^2 + \frac{16}{63}d(\tau^3). \end{aligned}$$

This gives an alternative expression for the Weitzenböck formula

$$\int_M 4R^g(\tau, \tau) dV_g = \int_M \left(-3\|W_{64}\|^2 + \frac{16}{7}\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 + \frac{2 \cdot 17}{3 \cdot 49}s_g^2 \right) dV_g.$$

We compare this to equation (7.46) and rearrange to get

$$\int_M (4W_{77}(\tau, \tau) + 3\|W_{64}\|^2) dV_g = \int_M \left(\frac{16}{7}\|\text{Ric}_0^g\|^2 + \frac{127}{196}s_g^2 \right) dV_g.$$

□

Eventually, suppose $W_{77} = W_{64} = 0$ and apply Lemma 7.5 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

□

Corollary 7.6. *Let M be a compact G_2 manifold with closed G_2 structure ϕ and torsion τ . Then*

$$\int_M r_g(\text{Ric}^g)(\tau, \tau) dV_g = 0.$$

Proof. A computation similar to (7.45) of the proof of Lemma 7.5 shows that $\frac{1}{4}r_g(\text{Ric}^g)(\tau, \tau) = \text{Ric}_{pq}^g\tau_{pr}\tau_{qr} = -\frac{1}{6}*d(\tau^3)$. Integrate the latter over the compact M to get the assertion. □

Remark 7.7. The name we have given Lemma 7.4 stems from the equivalence of equation (7.43) with the usual integral Weitzenböck formula $\int_M |d\tau|^2 dV_g = \int_M \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla^g \tau\|^2 + 2R^g(\tau, \tau) \right) dV_g$, see [12]. This is special instance of an example considered in [35], namely the Weitzenböck formula for two forms in Λ_{14}^2 .

8. EXAMPLES

All instances considered are locally of the form $M = I \times M^*$ where M^* is a 6-dimensional manifold carrying a one-parameter family of $SU(3)$ structures given by $(\omega_t, \psi_t^+)_{t \in I}$ and I is a real interval. This gives G_2 structures $\phi := dt \wedge \omega_t + \psi_t^+$ with associated metric $g = dt^2 + g_t$, where g_t is the metric on M^* determined by ω_t, ψ_t^+ . Strictly speaking, pull-backs such as $g = \pi_1^*(dt^2) + \pi_2^*(g_t)$ where π_i is projection to the i 'th factor ought to be included, but to simplify notation we set $dt := \pi_1^*(dt)$ et cetera.

The examples all admit parallel or nearly parallel G_2 structures. Some are flat, others have constant sectional curvature. In particular, the curvature can be written $W_{77} + S$, and sometimes $W_{77} = 0$ (constant sectional curvature), whilst in other (parallel) cases $S = 0$. The compatible three-forms, however, appear to range over pretty much any torsion type not excluded by the value of the scalar curvature.

8.1. Warped products. Let M^* be an $n - 1$ dimensional manifold with metric g^* . Write R^{g^*} , Ric^* and $(n - 1)(n - 2)\rho^* = s^*$ for the Riemannian, Ricci and scalar curvatures of g^* . Set $M := I \times M^*$ with warped product metric $g := dt^2 + f^2 g^*$ and curvatures R^g , Ric , $n(n - 1)\rho = s$. An elementary calculation shows that the curvatures of g are related to (the pull-backs relative to $M \rightarrow M^*$ of) those of g^* via

$$(8.47) \quad R^g = f^2 R^{g^*} - \frac{1}{2}(ff')^2 g^* \otimes g^* - ff'' dt^2 \otimes g^*,$$

It follows directly from equation (8.47) that

Lemma 8.1. [4] *The warped product metric $g = dt^2 + f^2 g^*$ is Einstein if and only if g^* is Einstein and $(f')^2 + \rho f^2 = \rho^*$. If g is Einstein, then $f'' + \rho f = 0$, and $R^g = f^2 W^* + \frac{1}{2} \rho g \otimes g$, where R^g is the Riemannian curvature of g and W^* is the Weyl curvature of W^* considered as $(4, 0)$ tensors.*

8.2. Curvature of nearly Kähler 3-folds. Let M^* be a 6-dimensional manifold equipped with an $SU(3)$ structure, i.e., with data $g^*, J, \omega, \psi^+, \psi^-$ where g^* is a Riemannian metric, J is an almost complex structure, ω is a non-degenerate form, and $\psi^+ + i\psi^-$ is a complex volume form (of type $(3, 0)$). The normalization conditions

$$(8.48) \quad \omega = g \circ J, \quad 2\omega^3 = 3\psi^+ \wedge \psi^-,$$

may be imposed to give relations $*\psi^+ = \psi^-$, $J\psi^+ = -\psi^-$, and so on. The structure equations of a nearly Kähler manifold are

$$(8.49) \quad d\omega = 3\sigma\psi^+, \quad d\psi^- = -2\sigma\omega^2,$$

where σ is a positive constant related to scalar curvature s^* and normalized scalar curvature ρ^* through $\sigma^2 = s^*/30 = \rho^*$. The Riemannian curvature tensor takes the form similar to the one of a G_2 structure of type 1

$$R^{g^*} = K^* + \frac{1}{2}\rho^*(g^* \otimes g^*),$$

where $K^*(\omega) = 0$, $K^*(\iota_X \psi^\pm) = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(M^*)$, see [33].

8.3. Warped Products over nearly Kähler 3-folds. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and set $M = M^* \times I$ where M^* has an $SU(3)$ structure $(g^*, J, \omega, \psi^+, \psi^-)$. Define

$$\omega_t = f^2 \omega, \quad \psi_t^+ = f^3(\cos \theta \psi^+ - \sin \theta \psi^-), \quad \psi_t^- = f^3(\sin \theta \psi^+ + \cos \theta \psi^-),$$

for smooth functions $f, \theta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f > 0$. A warped G_2 -fundamental three-form ϕ is defined on M by

$$(8.50) \quad \phi = \omega_t \wedge dt + \psi_t^+.$$

This is compatible with the warped product metric $g = dt^2 + f^2 g^*$ and has $*\phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega_t^2 + \psi_t^- \wedge dt$.

Suppose $(M^*, g^*, J, \omega, \psi^+, \psi^-)$ is nearly Kähler, normalized according to equation (8.48) with structure equations (8.49). We define the warped G_2 structure as in equation (8.50). Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_t &= 2f^{-1}f'dt \wedge \omega_t + 3f^{-1}\sigma(\cos\theta\psi_t^+ + \sin\theta\psi_t^-), \\ d\psi_t^+ &= 3f^{-1}f'dt \wedge \psi_t^+ - d\theta \wedge \psi_t^- + 2f^{-1}\sigma \sin\theta\omega_t^2, \\ d\psi_t^- &= 3f^{-1}f'dt \wedge \psi_t^- + d\theta \wedge \psi_t^+ - 2f^{-1}\sigma \cos\theta\omega_t^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the differentials of the fundamental forms are

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= -3f^{-1}(f' - \sigma \cos\theta)\psi_t^+ \wedge dt + (\theta' + 3f^{-1}\sigma \sin\theta)\psi_t^- \wedge dt + 2f^{-1}\sigma \sin\theta\omega_t^2, \\ d*\phi &= 2f^{-1}(f' - \sigma \cos\theta)dt \wedge \omega_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this the torsion components $\tau_p \in \Omega^p(M)$ are obtained. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 &= \frac{4}{7}(\theta' + 6f^{-1}\sigma \sin\theta), & \tau_1 &= f^{-1}(f' - \sigma \cos\theta)dt, \\ \tau_2 &= 0, & \tau_3 &= -\frac{1}{7}(\theta' - f^{-1}\sigma \sin\theta)(4\omega_t \wedge dt - 3\psi_t^+). \end{aligned}$$

The following elementary fact is recorded here for ease of reference

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose b is a non-zero continuous function. Then the solutions to the equation $\theta' = b \sin\theta$ are: either $\sin\theta = 0 = \theta'$, or $\cos\theta = \frac{1-a^2}{1+a^2}$, $\sin\theta = \pm \frac{2a}{1+a^2}$, where $a(t) = \exp \int^t b(s)ds$.*

This Lemma ensures that given any function f , the torsion components τ_0 and τ_3 may be made to vanish either simultaneously, with $\sin\theta = 0 = \theta'$ or, when $\sigma \neq 0$, separately, by choosing an appropriate solution θ to the equation $\theta' = b \sin\theta$ above.

Proposition 8.3. *Suppose $(M^*, g^*, \omega, \psi^+, \psi^-)$ is a nearly Kähler manifold. Then any warped product $M = I \times M^*$, $g = dt^2 + f^2g^*$ has $\text{Ric}^W = 0$. Any Einstein warped product $M = I \times M^*$, $g = dt^2 + f^2g^*$ has $\text{Ric}_0^g = 0 = \text{Ric}_0^\phi$ and $W_{64} = 0$.*

Proof. The first statement follows by using the formulas for the components τ_p of the torsion of ϕ computed above in the expression for the Ricci curvature given by Lemma 4.4. The second is an easy consequence of the forms of the curvature tensors of nearly Kähler manifolds and Einstein warped product metrics. $\square$

8.4. Cohomogeneity one examples. A variation on this theme is obtained by considering a homogeneous $M^* = G/H$ with $H \subset SU(3)$. To be explicit we take $G = SU(3)$, $H = T^2$. On M^* there are invariant forms $\omega_i \in \Lambda^2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\psi^+, \psi^- \in \Lambda^3$ such that

$$\omega_i \wedge \psi^\pm = 0, \quad \omega_i^2 = 0, \quad \text{vol}_0 := \omega_1\omega_2\omega_3 = \frac{1}{4}\psi^+ \wedge \psi^-, \quad d\omega_i = \frac{1}{2}\psi^+, \quad d\psi^- = -2 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i\omega_j.$$

On $M = I \times M^*$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{i,t} &:= f_i^2\omega_i, & \psi_t^+ &:= f_1f_2f_3(\cos\theta\psi^+ - \sin\theta\psi_-), & \psi_t^- &:= f_1f_2f_3(\sin\theta\psi^+ + \cos\theta\psi_-), \\ \omega_t &:= \sum_i \omega_{i,t}, & \text{vol}_t &= \frac{1}{4}\psi_t^+ \wedge \psi_t^- = \frac{1}{6}\omega_t^3, & \Omega_t &:= f_1f_2f_3 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i\omega_j = \sum_{i < j} \frac{f_k}{f_i f_j} \omega_{i,t}\omega_{j,t}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. An easy calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_{i,t} &= \ln(f_i^2)'dt \wedge \omega_{i,t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f_i}{f_j f_k} (\cos\theta\psi_t^+ + \sin\theta\psi_t^-), \\ d\omega_t &= \sum_i \ln(f_i^2)'dt \wedge \omega_{i,t} + h(\cos\theta\psi_t^+ + \sin\theta\psi_t^-), \\ d\psi_t^+ &= -k'\psi_t^+ \wedge dt + \theta'\psi_t^- \wedge dt + 2\sin\theta\Omega_t, & d\psi_t^- &= -k'\psi_t^- \wedge dt - \theta'\psi_t^+ \wedge dt - 2\cos\theta\Omega_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \ln(f_1f_2f_3)$, $h = \frac{f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2}{2f_1f_2f_3}$. Now set $\phi := \omega_t \wedge dt + \psi_t^+$. Then ϕ is compatible with the metric $g = dt^2 + \sum_i f_i^2 g_i$, and volume $\text{vol} = \text{vol}_t \wedge dt$, and $*\phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega_t^2 + \psi_t^- \wedge dt$.

Suppose that f_1, f_2, f_3 are such that $(f_i f_j)' = f_k$ (there is a one-parameter family of such triples, see [13] for details). Then the metric g has holonomy contained in G_2 . For such a triple one furthermore has $k' = h$. Taking this in to account we calculate the torsion components of ϕ .

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 &:= \frac{4}{7}(\theta' + 2h \sin \theta), \\ \tau_1 &:= \frac{1}{3}h(1 - \cos \theta), \\ \tau_2 &:= -\frac{2(1 - \cos \theta)}{3f_1 f_2 f_3} \sum_i (2f_i^2 - f_j^2 - f_k^2) \omega_{i,t}, \\ \tau_3 &:= \frac{3}{7}(\theta' - \frac{1}{3}h \sin \theta) \psi_t^+ - \frac{4}{7} \sum_i \left(\theta' - \frac{5f_i^2 - 2(f_j^2 + f_k^2)}{2f_1 f_2 f_3} \sin \theta \right) \omega_{i,t} \wedge dt.\end{aligned}$$

First note that ϕ is parallel if and only if $\cos \theta = 1$. If $\cos \theta \neq 1$ then $\tau_1 \neq 0$. Taking $\cos \theta = -1$ gives a 2 + 4 structure, which is a type 4 structure when $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$.

If $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 =: f$ holds then $\tau_2 \equiv 0$ and

$$\tau_3 = (\theta' - \frac{1}{3}h \sin \theta) \left(\frac{3}{7} \psi_t^+ - \frac{4}{7} \omega_t \wedge dt \right).$$

In general this gives a type 1 + 3 + 4 structure, but using Lemma 8.2 again we may make either τ_0 or τ_3 vanish to obtain 1 + 4 and 3 + 4 structures, too.

Now suppose $f_3 \geq f_2 \geq f_1$ and $f_3 > f_1$. The generic type is 1 + 2 + 3 + 4, but we may once again use Lemma 8.2 to eliminate τ_0 to get class 2 + 3 + 4. Proposition 8.3 and a little book-keeping then proves

Proposition 8.4. *There are warped product and cohomogeneity-one metrics g with $\text{Hol}(g) \subset G_2$ and three-forms ϕ compatible with g of every admissible type, except possibly for 1 + 2 + 3. $\square$*

A three-form of *admissible type* means not one of the strict types 1, 2, 3, and 2 + 3. Type 1 + 2 doesn't really exist as a strict class and every other type of G_2 structure in this list has either strictly positive or strictly negative scalar curvature, c.f. (4.25).

Remark 8.5. While the Riemannian curvature is a function of the metric alone, the G_2 equivariant splitting of the curvature is inherently dependent on the three-form, in general. However, for the very special case of a warped product three-form with Einstein metric on the product of a nearly Kähler manifold with $\mathbb{R}$, the component W_{77} equals the curvature component K^* of the nearly Kähler manifold for any ϕ . For the cohomogeneity-one G_2 structures the picture is far more complicated as the constant t hypersurfaces $(N^*, \sum_i f_i^2 g_i)$ are half-flat rather than nearly Kähler.

Remark 8.6. The following was explained to us by Bryant [8]: for a fixed metric g , the exterior differential system corresponding to the equation $p_7^4(d\phi) = 0$ for a compatible G_2 -three-form ϕ is involutive at points where the torsion $\tau \neq 0$, with last nonzero Cartan character $s_6 = 6$, so that the general local solution depends on 6 functions of 6 variables. This along with Proposition 8.4 entails

Theorem 8.7. *For every admissible Fernández-Gray type, there is a G_2 three-form ϕ , with torsion of this type such that the associated metric g has holonomy $\text{Hol}(g) \subset G_2$.*

8.5. Complete and compact examples.

Example 8.8. Suppose (M^*, ω, ψ^+) is Calabi-Yau (corresponding to structure equations $d\omega = 0$, $d\psi^+ = 0 = d\psi^-$). Then for any smooth function $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the three-form ϕ defined as in (8.50) with f constant is smooth. The G_2 three-form is of strict type 1 + 3 if θ is non-constant and parallel otherwise. The associated metric is the Riemannian product metric on $\mathbb{R} \times M^*$ and hence has holonomy contained in $SU(3) \subset G_2$. Compact examples may be obtained by the method of [4], Section 9.109.

For certain other choices of (M^*, g^*) and non-constant function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the warped product metric on $I \times M^*$ also extends to a complete metric. This is the case when

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathbb{R}^7} &= dt^2 + t^2 g_{S^6}, & I &= \mathbb{R}^+, \\ g_{S^7} &= dt^2 + \sin^2(t) g_{S^6}, & I &= (0, \pi), \\ g_{H^7} &= \begin{cases} dt^2 + \sinh^2(t) g_{S^6}, & I = \mathbb{R}^+, \\ dt^2 + e^{2t} g_{\mathbb{R}^6}, & I = \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here g_N refers to the constant sectional curvature metric of N with $|\rho|$ and ρ^* equal to 0 or 1.

Example 8.9. We consider hyperbolic space H^7 with metric $dt^2 + e^{2t} g_{\mathbb{R}^6}$ first. The structure on M^* , as the examples of 8.8, has $\sigma = 0$ and f strictly positive. The warped three-form ϕ is therefore smooth for any smooth function $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The generic type is 1 + 3 + 4 for non-constant θ and 4 for constant θ . Type 1 + 3 three-forms require $e^t = \cos \theta$ and therefore are not complete.

The remaining three cases are all cohomogeneity-one metrics on the isotropy irreducible space $M^* = S^6 = G_2/SU(3)$ equipped with its standard, homogeneous, nearly Kähler structure so that $\sigma = 1$. The structures with $\tau_1 = 0$ are: the flat G_2 structure on $\mathbb{R}^7$, where $f = t$, $\theta = 0$ and the unique nearly parallel (type 1) structure on S^7 , $f = \sin(t)$, $\theta = t$. These are, of course, complete and smooth.

Type 1 + 4 structures are given by the equation $f d\theta = \sin \theta dt$. Consequently, the metric and three-form may conveniently be re-written in the familiar form

$$\phi = (\theta')^{-3} (\sin^2 \theta \omega \wedge d\theta + \sin^3 \theta (\cos \theta \psi^+ - \sin \theta \psi^-)), \quad g = (\theta')^{-2} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta g_{S^6}).$$

It is then clear that the three-forms of type 1 + 4 arise as point-wise conformal changes of the standard nearly parallel structure on the 7-sphere. Examination of the solutions of Lemma 8.2 corresponding to $b = f^{-1}$ shows that there are constants A and B so that

$$\theta' = A \cos \theta + B, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} |B/A| > 1, & \text{for } f = \sin(t), \\ |B/A| < 1, & \text{for } f = \sinh(t), \\ |B/A| = 1, & \text{for } f = t. \end{cases}$$

The requirement that $|\theta'| > 0$ imposes restrictions in the two latter cases. It is clear that both metric and three-form are smooth where $\theta' \neq 0$. In the first case we see that all type 1 + 4 warped G_2 structures arise as global conformal changes of the standard nearly parallel structure on S^7 , see [11, 6].

Example 8.10. Type 4 structures are also interesting. First consider three-forms ϕ compatible with the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^7$. Since $\theta' = 0 = \sin \theta$, there are only two possibilities: either ϕ is parallel ($\cos \theta = 1$) or $\tau_1 = d \ln(t^2)$ ($\cos \theta = -1$). In the latter case one notes that

$$t^{-6} \phi = s^2 \omega \wedge ds + s^3 \psi^+ = \iota^* \phi, \quad t^{-4} g = ds^2 + s^2 g_{S^6} = \iota^* g,$$

where $s := -t^{-1}$ and ι is the map $\iota: \mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$, $x \mapsto -x/|x|^2$.

The standard metric of the 7 sphere is compatible with the three-form

$$\phi = \sin^2(t) \omega \wedge dt + \sin^3(t) \psi^+.$$

We note that this three-form satisfies the necessary conditions of [13]. However, the three-form has type 4 with torsion $\tau_1 = -\tan(t/2) dt = -d \ln \cos^2(t/2)$. This is clearly singular at one point. Setting $r = 2 \cos^2(t/2)$, $s = \tan(t/2)$ then $ds = \frac{1}{2} dt / \cos^2(t/2)$ and we may write

$$\phi = \frac{8}{(1+s^2)^3} (s^2 \omega \wedge ds + s^3 \psi^+), \quad g_{S^7} = \frac{4}{(1+s^2)^2} (ds^2 + s^2 g_{S^6}) = r^2 g_{\mathbb{R}^7},$$

This transformation realizes the same structure smoothly on $\mathbb{R}^7$. The metric represented this way is not complete.

For the hyperbolic space the same technique produces

$$\phi = \frac{8}{(1-s^2)^3}(s^2\omega \wedge ds + s^3\psi^+), \quad g = \frac{4}{(1-s^2)^2}(ds^2 + s^2g_{S^6}),$$

where $s = \tanh(t/2) \in (0, 1)$.

8.5.1. *Cohomogeneity one metrics over $SU(3)/T^2$.* The analysis carried out in the final section of [13] applies to the cohomogeneity one metrics based on $M^* = SU(3)/T^2, Sp(2)/Sp(1)U(1)$, too. Whenever θ is an odd function of t , f_1 is odd with $f_1'(0) = 1$ and f_2 is even with $f_2(0) > 0$ the metric g and three-form ϕ extend smoothly to the non-compact manifolds isomorphic to the bundles of anti-self-dual forms over $\mathbb{CP}(2)$ and S^4 , respectively. These then carry smooth G_2 structures compatible with the holonomy G_2 structures which are either parallel or of strict type $2+4, 2+3+4, 1+2+3+4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. V. Alekseevskii, *Riemannian spaces with unusual holonomy groups*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen **2** (1968), no. 2, 1–10. 1, 13
- [2] W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer, *On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J., vol. 25 (1958), 647–669. 7
- [3] L. Bedulli and L. Vezzoni, *The Ricci tensor of $SU(3)$ -manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **57**(4) 1125–1146 (2007). 10
- [4] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. 8, 21, 23
- [5] E. Bonan, *Sur des variétés riemanniennes à groupe d'holonomie G_2 ou spin (7)*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **262** (1966), A127–A129. 1
- [6] H. W. Brinkmann, *Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other*, Math. Ann. **94** (1925), no. 1, 119–145. 24
- [7] R. L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 3, 525–576. 2
- [8] ———, November 2006, E-mail. 23
- [9] ———, *Some remarks on G_2 -structures*, Proceeding of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005 (S. Akbulut, T. Önder, and R.J. Stern, eds.), International Press, 2006. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16
- [10] R. Cleyton, *G -structures and Einstein metrics*, Ph.D. thesis, Odense University, 2001. 13
- [11] R. Cleyton and S. Ivanov, *Conformal equivalence between certain geometries in dimension 6 and 7*, arXiv:math.DG/0607487. 13, 24
- [12] ———, *On the geometry of closed G_2 -structures*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **270** (2007), no. 1, 53–67. 2, 5, 9, 14, 19, 20
- [13] R. Cleyton and A. Swann, *Cohomogeneity-one G_2 -structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **44** (2002), no. 2-3, 202–220. 23, 24, 25
- [14] ———, *Einstein metrics via intrinsic or parallel torsion*, Math. Z. **247** (2004), no. 3, 513–528. 7, 16
- [15] M. Falcitelli, A. Farinola, and S. Salamon, *Almost-Hermitian geometry*, Differential Geom. Appl. **4** (1994), no. 3, 259–282. 7
- [16] M. Fernández, *An example of a compact calibrated manifold associated with the exceptional Lie group G_2* , J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), no. 2, 367–370. 17
- [17] ———, *A family of compact solvable G_2 -calibrated manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **39** (1987), no. 2, 287–289. 17
- [18] M. Fernández and A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **132** (1982), 19–45 (1983). 1, 2, 7
- [19] Th. Friedrich, *G_2 -Manifolds With Parallel Characteristic Torsion*, arXiv:math.DG/0604441. 11
- [20] Th. Friedrich and S. Ivanov, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), no. 2, 303–335. 2, 9
- [21] ———, *Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 and geometry of integrable G_2 -manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), no. 1, 1–11. 10
- [22] A. Gray, *Vector cross products on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (1969), 465–504. 2
- [23] A. Gray, *Weak holonomy groups*, Math. Z. **123** (1971), 290–300. 2, 13
- [24] N. Hitchin, *The geometry of three-forms in six and seven dimensions*, arXiv:math.DG/0010054. 4

- [25] S. Ivanov, M. Parton, and P. Piccinni, *Locally conformal parallel G_2 and $\text{Spin}(7)$ manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 2-3, 167–177. 13
- [26] D. D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. 2, 17
- [27] Dominic D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . I, II*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), no. 2, 291–328, 329–375. 2
- [28] S. Karigiannis, *Geometric Flows on Manifolds with G_2 Structure, I*, arXiv:math.DG/0702077. 7, 9, 10
- [29] A. Kovalev, *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125–160. 2
- [30] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. 1
- [31] F. Martín-Cabrera and A. Swann, *Curvature of (special) almost Hermitian manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **228** (2006), no. 1, 165–184. 12
- [32] K. Nomizu, *Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 76 (1954), 33–65. 16
- [33] R. Reyes Carrión, *Some special geometries defined by Lie groups*, Ph.D. thesis, St. Catherine's College, University of Oxford, 1993. 2, 13, 21
- [34] S. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 201, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989. 2, 6
- [35] U. Semmelmann and G. Weingart, *The Weitzenböck Machine*, arXiv:math.DG/0702031. 20
- [36] M. Verbitsky, *An intrinsic volume functional on almost complex 6-manifolds and nearly Kaehler geometry*, arXiv:math.DG/0507179. 13

(Cleyton) HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6, D-10099 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: cleyton@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

(Ivanov) UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA "ST. KL. OHRIDSKI", FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS., BLVD. JAMES BOURCHIER 5., 1164 SOFIA, BULGARIA,

AND MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, D-53111 BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: ivanovsp@fmi.uni-sofia.bg